# TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM No. 13: EMISSIONS MODELING PARAMETERS 

To: Tom Moore, Western Governors' Association (WGA) (WRAP)
From: Zac Adelman, University of North Carolina/Institute for the Environment
Cyndi Loomis, Alpine Geophysics, LLC Ralph Morris, ENVIRON International Corporation

Subject: Emissions Modeling Parameters, Ancillary Data and Summary Results for the WestJumpAQMS 2008 Photochemical Modeling

## INTRODUCTION

ENVIRON International Corporation (ENVIRON), Alpine Geophysics, LLC (Alpine) and the University of North Carolina (UNC) at Chapel Hill Institute for Environment are performing the West-wide Jump Start Air Quality Modeling Study (WestJumpAQMS) managed by the Western Governors' Association (WGA) Air Quality Program. WestJumpAQMS is setting up the CAMx photochemical grid model for the 2008 calendar year (plus spin up days for the end of December 2007) on a 36 km CONUS, 12 km WESTUS and several 4 km Inter-Mountain West domains. The WestJumpAQMS Team are currently compiling emissions to be used for the 2008 base case modeling, with the 2008 National Emissions Inventory (NEI) being a major data source, and are preparing 13 Technical Memorandums discussing the sources of the 2008 emissions by major source sector:

1. Point Sources including Electrical Generating Units (EGUs) and Non-EGUs;
2. Area plus Non-Road Mobile Sources;
3. On-Road Mobile Sources that will be based on MOVES;
4. Oil and Gas Sources (5 installments);
5. Fires Emissions including wildfire, prescribed burns and agricultural burning;
6. Fugitive Dust Sources;
7. Off-Shore Shipping Sources;
8. Ammonia Emissions;
9. Biogenic Emissions;
10. Eastern USA Emissions;
11. Mexico/Canada;

## 12. Sea Salt and Lightning Emissions; and

13. Emissions Modeling Parameters including spatial surrogates, temporal adjustment parameters and chemical (VOC and PM) speciation profiles.

This is Technical Memorandum \#13. We discuss the approach to be used for developing the emissions modeling parameters that will be used to simulate air pollutant emissions for the WestJumpAQMS project. After a brief description of the different types of emissions modeling parameters, this memo includes detailed descriptions of the sources of the data that will be used for the WestJumpAQMS project.

We will summarize WestJumpAQMS 2008 emissions data after the emissions modeling is complete so that it is comparable on a source category and state/county basis to the WRAP Regional Modeling Center's "Base02b" 2002 emissions modeling results displayed on the WRAP Technical Support System's "Emissions Review Tool", found under "Emissions \& Source Apportionment" at: http://vista.cira.colostate.edu/tss/Results/HazePlanning.aspx. These 2008 emissions modeling data will be uploaded to the Emissions Review Tool, and used in the WRAP Regional Haze Reasonable Progress Report (RHRPR) project currently underway for the WRAP region states to use in their 5-year progress reports on the Baseline Regional Haze Plans.

Emissions modeling parameters refer to the non-inventory data used to prepare emissions for input to an air quality model (AQM), including:

- Spatial data. All anthropogenic non-point inventory data, including on-road and non-road mobile sources, are estimated at the county level. Data files called spatial surrogates are used to map the county-level emission inventories to the model grid cells. Spatial surrogates are generated from Geographic Information System (GIS) Shapefiles using software that calculates the fractions of county-level different geospatial attributes in a model grid cell. For example, a Shapefile of the housing distribution in Los Angeles County is combined with a description of a modeling grid to calculate the percentage of L.A. County housing assigned to each grid cell. This information is then used to allocate county-level emission inventory sources that are associated with housing (e.g. residential wood combustion) to the modeling grids.

Spatial surrogates require cross-referencing data that assign a spatial surrogate to specific categories of inventory sources. Spatial cross-reference files assign surrogates to inventory sources using country/state/county codes (FIPS) and source classification codes (SCCs).

- Temporal data. Air quality modeling systems, such as CMAQ and CAMx, require hourly emissions input data. With the exception of a few source types (e.g. Continuous Emissions Monitoring data, biogenic emissions and some fire inventories), most inventory data include annual or daily emission estimates. Temporal profiles are used to compute hourly emissions from the annual or daily inventory estimates. The SMOKE model, which is being used to process emissions for the WestJumpAQMS study, uses three types of temporal profiles:

1. Monthly profiles: Convert annual inventory to monthly emissions accounting for seasonal and other effects.
2. Daily profiles: Convert monthly emissions to daily emissions accounting for day-of-week and other effects.
3. Hourly profiles: Convert daily emissions to hourly emissions accounting for the diurnal variation in emissions (e.g., work schedules and commute times).

Temporal profiles are assigned to inventory sources using cross-referencing data that match the profiles and inventory sources using country/state/county (FIPS) and source classification codes (SCCs).

- Chemical speciation data. Emissions inventories have limited chemical composition information. The emissions inventories for WestJumpAQMS include 6 criteria pollutants: carbon monoxide (CO), nitrogen oxides ( $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ ), volatile organic compounds (VOC), ammonia $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)$, sulfur dioxide $\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right)$, particulate matter with a mean diameter $<10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\left(\mathrm{PM}_{10}\right)$, and particulate matter with a mean diameter $<2.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\left(\mathrm{PM}_{2.5}\right)$. Chemical speciation profiles are used to describe the chemical compositions of the effluent from particular emissions sources. The exact specification of the source-specific emissions species is determined by the chemistry mechanism selected for the AQM simulation. Speciation profiles convert the inventory pollutants to more detailed source-specific species in terms required by the AQM chemistry mechanism. For example, there is a speciation profile that converts the inventory pollutant $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ to the AQM input species $\mathrm{NO}, \mathrm{NO}_{2}$, and HONO. Speciation profiles are required to convert inventory $\mathrm{NO}_{x}, \mathrm{VOC}, \mathrm{SO}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{PM}_{2.5}$ into AQM species. For the WestJumpAQMS SMOKE emissions modeling the CB6 chemical mechanism will be utilized and VOC will be speciated using source specific speciation profiles developed using the SPECIATE 4.3 database ${ }^{1}$. Note that because the CB6 has more explicit VOC species than CB05 it can be easily converted to CB05, however not vice versa.

Chemical speciation profiles are assigned to inventory sources using cross-referencing data that match the profiles and inventory sources using country/state/county (FIPS) and source classification codes (SCCs).

## EMISSIONS MODELING PARAMETERS AND QUALITY ASSURANCE

There are three types of emissions modeling parameters required for converting emissions inventories into AQM inputs. Details of the sources of these parameters used for WestJumpAQMS are provided below.

## Spatial Surrogates and Cross-Reference Data

## Spatial Surrogate Data

Team member UNC has recently developed new spatial surrogates for the U.S. EPA Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards (OAQPS). These new surrogates are replacing the spatial data used by OAQPS for modeling studies completed over the past 10 years. As they represented the best available geospatial information for the U.S., the OAQPS data were also used to support regulatory and research air quality modeling studies conducted by other modeling groups during the same period,

[^0]including all modeling conducted by the WRAP RMC. The data collected and processed by UNC for OAQPS will be used to create spatial surrogates for WestJumpAQMS.

This section describes the processing, collection, and development of geospatial data for calculating spatial surrogates. All of the surrogates described here were generated with the Surrogate Tool of the Spatial Allocator (SA) ${ }^{2}$. The SA is open-source Java software that manipulates and generates data files related to emissions, air quality, and meteorology modeling. The tools perform functions similar to a GIS and are targeted specifically toward processing data for atmospheric modeling. The Surrogate Tool is a component of the SA that uses the PROJ. 4 library ${ }^{3}$ to compute spatial surrogates on different map projections for use in emissions processing.

The Spatial Allocator was used for the WestJumpAQMS project to compute spatial surrogates for the U.S., Canada, and Mexico on a North American modeling domain at three grid resolutions: 36, 12, and 4 km . The grid definitions of the Lambert Conformal Conic modeling domains covered by the spatial surrogates are shown in Table 1. Spatial surrogates for the 12 km and 4 km grids will be generated on the continental U.S. (CONUS) domain to support flexibility in the placement of nested modeling domains for the WestJumpAQMS project. Figure 1 is a graphic of the candidate modeling domains for the WestJumpAQMS project.

Table 1. WestJumpAQMS study spatial surrogate and modeling grid definitions

| Projection | Lat Centroid | Lon Centroid | Std. Parallel <br> $\mathbf{1}$ | Std. Parallel 2 | Central Meridian |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lambert <br> Conformal <br> Conic | 40 N | 97 W | 33 N | 45 N |  |
| Grid | dx x dy <br> $\mathbf{( k m )}$ | Columns | Rows | Lat Origin Offset <br> $\mathbf{( k m )}$ | Lon Origin Offset <br> $\mathbf{( k m})$ |
| CONUS36 | $36 \times 36$ | 148 | 112 | $-2,736$ | $-2,088$ |
| CONUS12 | $12 \times 12$ | 444 | 336 | $-2,736$ | $-2,088$ |
| CONUS4 | $4 \times 4$ | 1332 | 1008 | $-2,736$ | $-2,088$ |
| WestJump36 | $36 \times 36$ | 148 | 112 | $-2,736$ | $-2,088$ |
| WestJump12 | $12 \times 12$ | 227 | 230 | $-2,388$ | $-1,236$ |
| WestJump4 | $4 \times 4$ | 317 | 515 | $-1,480$ | -904 |

[^1]INSTITUTE FOR

$36 \mathrm{~km}: 148 \times 112(-2736,-2088)$ to $(2592,1944)$
12km*: $227 \times 230(-2388,-1236)$ to $(336,1542)$
$04 \mathrm{~km} *: 317 \times 515(-1480,-904)$ to $(-212,1156)$

* includes buffer cells

Figure 1. 36 km CONUS, 12 km WESTUS and 4 km Inter-Mountain West processing modeling domains for the WestJumpAQMS project.

UNC updated most of the OAQPS U.S. Shapefile database with the latest available geospatial datasets. The timing of the Shapefile database update was due in part to the recent release of a few key datasets. The 2010 U.S. Census population and housing data, American Community Survey home heating data, year 2010 roadway data from TIGER, rail/port data from the National Transportation Atlas, and Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) HAZUS-MH v2 building square footage data all became available in 2011 and 2012. Analysis of the 2005 U.S. National Emission Inventory showed that the spatial surrogates derived from these datasets were used to allocate the following proportion of the nonpoint inventory to modeling grids ${ }^{4}$ :

- CO: 61\%
- $\mathrm{NO}_{x}: ~ 94 \%$
- VOC: 88\%
- $\mathrm{NH}_{3}: 69 \%$
- $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ : $98 \%$
- $\mathrm{PM}_{2.5}$ : $61 \%$

The roadway surrogates derived from the TIGER data are used to allocate $100 \%$ of the on-road mobile emissions to the modeling grid.

In addition to using the new Shapefiles to update existing spatial surrogates, three entirely new surrogates were created to support the processing of new off-network mobile source emissions generated by EPA's MOtor Vehicle Emissions Simulator (MOVES) on-road mobile source emissions model. Of the 77 U.S. spatial surrogates developed from the OAQPS Shapefile database, 66 of them were updated with data that became available in 2011 and 2012. The remaining 11 surrogates were generated using Shapefiles in the existing OAQPS database. Details of the Shapefiles used to create the surrogates, including the sources of the data, are included in at the end of this memo in Table 3. Descriptions of the Shapefiles are included below. Table 4, also at the end of this memo, includes the specifications for all spatial surrogates used for WestJumpAQMS.

Population and Housing: The 2010 TIGER/Line database contains U.S. Census population and housing unit counts at the Census block level for each state. UNC downloaded the entire database, merged the state-level data into a national Shapefile, and projected the data to a U.S. national Lambert Conformal Conic projection. Urban and rural areas were calculated using Census block groups. Urban was defined as Census block groups that have a population density of at least 1,000 people per square mile and everything else was defined as rural.

Roadways: State-level 2010 TIGER/Line Shapefile data were merged to create a national file with urban and rural roadways. The TIGER/Line MTFCC codes S1100 and S1200 were used to define primary and secondary roads, respectively. Urban and rural roadway classifications were calculated using Census block group population densities (see Section 2.1) overlaid onto TIGER roads.

Rail and Waterways: State-level 2010 TIGER/Line Shapefile data were merged to create a national railway network file. The TIGER/Line MTFCC codes R1052, R1051, and R1011 were used to define the different classes of rail lines. The National Transportation Atlas Database (NTAD) 2011 was used to get waterway length information.

Highway Exits, Major Roads, and Transportation Terminals: Data from the ESRI Data and Maps 2010 database were used to create surrogates for the MOVES off-network (rate-per-profile and rate-pervehicle) emissions sectors. The Off-Network HD/MD surrogate was designed for MOVES rate per profile (RPP) and rate per vehicle (RPV) medium and heavy-duty vehicle sources. It is computed from a combination of industrial building square footage data and highway exit ramps. The rationale behind this surrogate is that idling and starting/stopping medium and heavy-duty vehicles occur mainly while loading freight in industrial areas and at rest areas and along highway exits ramps. As a national Shapefile of highway rest areas is not available, highway exit ramps were used to represent the locations of idling tractor-trailers. The Off-Network LD surrogate is designed for MOVES RPP and RPV light-duty vehicles, including motorcycles. It is computed from a combination of commercial building square footage data, residential building square footage data, and local roads. The rationale behind this surrogate is that idling and starting/stopping light duty vehicles and motorcycles occur mostly in driveways/residential parking areas, parking lots of shopping centers, and along nonresidential roadways with street parking. The Off-Network Buses surrogate is designed for MOVES RPP and RPV buses. It is computed from a combination of bus terminal locations and local roads, with the concept behind this surrogate being that bus terminals and local roads represent the majority of locations that buses are loading/unloading passengers.

FEMA Building Footprints: The Federal Emergency Management Agency HAZUS-MH version 2 was released in September 2011 and contains square footage data for different types of buildings
throughout the U.S. The building square footage data are used to identify building classifications (i.e. commercial, residential, industrial, and institutional) for allocating non-point inventory sources to modeling grids. Census-block level data by state were merged into a national Shapefile for the database attributes required for generated spatial surrogates.

Home Heating: The American Community Survey (ACS) is U.S. Census project that collects yearly demographic and housing information from randomly selected households throughout the U.S. The data are aggregated in 5 and 10-year increments to provide Census tract estimates for the statistics collected during the survey. Home heating fuel type is used to develop spatial surrogates for home heating sources (i.e. residential wood combustion) in the nonpoint inventory. The ACS 5-year 2010 survey results that were released in 2011 represent data collected from 2006-2010. These data were used to create home heating surrogates.

As a review of the 5-year ACS data for 2010 showed that the number of housing units per Census tract in the ACS are always lower than the number of housing units reported in the 2010 Census. This trend indicates that the ACS only estimates demographic and housing statistics for a random sampling of households in each Census tract and does not represent the entire distribution of households. In order to represent the spatial distribution of home heating sources accurately, the ACS was used to calculate a distribution of heating sources for each Census tract and then these distributions where applied to the 2010 Census Housing Unit Shapefile to construct a home heating Shapefile that is consistent with the number of census tract housing units in the 2010 Census database. For example, if the ACS reports that in Census tract $\times 75 \%$ of the households use coal for heating and $25 \%$ of the households use heating fuel, the 2010 U.S. Census estimate of the total number of households in tract $X$ were multiplied by 0.75 and 0.25 to estimate the distribution of coal and heating fuel use, respectively, for tract $X$.

Oil and Gas Development: EPA's new spatial surrogates for oil and gas development project inventories in the states of Colorado, Wyoming, and Utah include accompanying GIS Shapefiles with the locations of well pads and drill rigs by project, BLM field office, or development basin. These Shapefiles would typically be used to create spatial surrogates to allocate the non-point inventories for the associated development project to the modeling grids. However, for these four states we are using 2008 oil and gas emissions projected from the WRAP Phase III oil and gas emissions development study that have their own spatial surrogates that will be used in the SMOKE emissions modeling.

## Spatial Cross-Referencing Data

Spatial cross-reference tables relate inventory sources to spatial surrogates. Each surrogate has a numeric code that can be associated to inventory sources using location and source identifiers in the inventory. Country/state/county (FIPS) and source classification codes (SCC) are used to identify locations and source types, respectively in the non-point and mobile inventories. SMOKE support hierarchical cross-referencing of the spatial surrogates to inventory sources. The most specific crossreferencing takes precedence over less-specific matches. The example spatial cross-reference table below shows a case where two surrogates are assigned to FIPS 01005:

| Surrogate ID | SCC | FIPS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2210010000 | 01005 |
| 2 | - | 01005 |

In this example, sources with SCC 2210010000 in FIPS 01005 would be allocated to the modeling grid with surrogate 1 and all other sources in FIPS 01005 would be allocated using surrogate 2 (because the SCC field is blank).

The spatial cross-referencing table used for WestJumpAQMS will originate from the data distributed with the U.S. EPA NEI2008v2 (filename: amgref_us_can_mex_revised_28nov2011_v17.txt). A key assumption in using the EPA data is that there will be consistency in the encoding of inventory sources with SCCs between WestJumpAQMS and NEI 2008. This is a good assumption because (1) many of the inventory components for WestJumpAQMS are being taken directly from the NEI and (2) the SCC coding convention is standardized in the U.S. The spatial cross-referencing files used by the EPA have been in use for over a decade and through this period have undergone many rounds of quality control.

One task of the WestJumpAQMS will be to verify the spatial surrogate assignments for the largest sources in each inventory category. We will generate reports by state, SCC, and surrogate code and use these reports to verify the surrogate assignments for the top $95 \%$ of the sources in each state by inventory pollutant mass. The surrogate code and the SCC descriptions will be used to determine the validity of the surrogate assignments to inventory sources. Discrepancies in these assignments will be corrected by changing the spatial cross-reference entry for the state and SCC in question.

## Temporal Profiles and Cross-Reference Data

## Temporal Profiles

Temporal profiles are available from the U.S. EPA for a wide range of emissions sources. While the majority of the temporal profiles available from the EPA represent nationally averaged emissions sources, state-specific monthly profiles exist for prescribed fires, wildfires, livestock, and some mobile sources. For most sources we will base the WestJumpAQMS emissions modeling on the U.S. EPA temporal profiles distributed with the NEI2008v2 (filename:
amptpro_2008aa_us_can_revised_06oct2011_v0.txt). The WestJumpAQMS modeling process we will evaluate the quality and appropriateness of the EPA temporal profiles. While it is unlikely that new profiles will be added, there is a possibility of changing the assignments of existing profiles to inventory sources through updates to the temporal cross-reference file. For episodic emissions, such as biogenics, prescribed burns and wildfires, we will use day-specific emissions.

## Temporal Cross-referencing Data

Temporal cross-reference tables relate inventory sources to temporal profiles. A temporal crossreference entry includes six elements:

- 10-digit or 7-digit SCC
- Monthly temporal profile code
- Weekly temporal profile code
- Daily temporal profile code
- Pollutant name
- FIPS (country/state/county) code

At a minimum, entries in the temporal cross-reference files must include an SCC and three profile codes that exist in the temporal profile file. Including a pollutant and/or FIPS code in the crossreference file entries supports more specific assignments of temporal profiles to inventory sources.

The temporal cross-reference table used for the WestJumpAQMS will originate from the data distributed with the U.S. EPA NEI2008v2 (filename: amptref_v3_3_revised_03nov2011_v14.txt). The same assumption about consistency noted for the spatial cross-referencing table also applies to the temporal cross-reference file. One task of the WestJumpAQMS will be to verify the temporal profiles assignments for the largest sources in each inventory category. We will generate reports by state, SCC, and temporal profile code and use these reports to verify the temporal profile assignments for the top $95 \%$ of the sources in each state by inventory pollutant mass. As metadata describing the source of the temporal profiles in the EPA database are limited, assessing the validity of the temporal profile assignments will require review of the assignments by WestJumpAQMS participants and stakeholders. If requested, we will produce a spreadsheet of the temporal profile assignments for the top emissions sources that includes graphical representations of the temporal profiles assigned to these sources. Comparisons of the SCC descriptions to the qualitative graphics of the temporal profiles may be used to determine the validity of the profile assignments and inform corrections to these assignments as needed.

## Chemical Speciation Profiles and Cross-Reference Data

## Speciation Profiles

The U.S. EPA develops speciation profiles from information stored in the SPECIATE database (http://www.epa.gov/ttnchie1/software/speciate/). The current SPECIATE database (version 4.3) is the official repository of volatile organic compound (VOC) and particulate matter (PM) emissions source profiles for different categories of emissions sources. SPECIATE contains 5,592 profiles of chemical mass fractions from source testing conducted by EPA, state agencies, or published in the literature since the 1970's. Of the current profiles in SPECIATE, 3,570 are for PM sources, 1,775 are for VOC sources, and 247 are for other gases, such as mercury. The most recent update to the SPECIATE database occurred with the release of version 4.3 in September 2011. SPECIATE 4.3 include 405 new profiles obtained from a combination of recommendations for EPA Office of Transportation and Air Quality, EPA and state-sponsored studies of various industrial processes, and literature reviews conducted by the SPECIATE workgroup.

Part of the speciation process for VOCs includes converting inventory reactive organic gases (ROG) to total organic gases (TOG). This step is required because inventoried VOC excludes methane in the mass of total VOC while the speciation profiles include methane. Before the speciation profiles can be applied to the inventory, the inventory VOC must be scaled up to account for the missing methane mass. SCC-specific ROG-to-TOG conversion factors are included with the speciation profiles to prepare the inventories for speciation.

We will base the WestJumpAQMS emissions modeling on Carbon Bond version 6 (CB6) speciation profiles and ROG-to-TOG conversion factors recently developed by ENVIRON. Note that CB6 has several explicit VOC species not included in CB05 so that it is easy to convert CB6 emissions to CB05 if needed, however the reverse is not true. ENVIRON developed an interface to the SPECIATE database called the Speciation Tool. If new speciation information for sources in the WestJumpAQMS modeling domain become available during the project we will use the Speciation Tool to generate SMOKE-ready
speciation profiles. For example, we may receive VOC speciation data for oil and gas wells in a specific development basin in the WestJumpAQMS 4-km modeling domain. We could use the Speciation Tool to convert the mass fractions for these wells to a speciation profile for use in simulating VOC emissions from these sources. The latest version of the Speciation Tool developed by ENVIRON creates both ROG-to-TOG conversion factors and SMOKE-ready speciation profiles for multiple photochemical mechanisms, including Carbon Bond 05 and SAPRC07. Note that for the WRAP Phase III Basins we have Basin-specific VOC speciation profiles for the O\&G emissions that will be used in the SMOKE emissions modeling.

The latest EPA profiles contain a single profile for inventory $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$. Inventory $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ is converted to $9.2 \%$ $\mathrm{NO}_{2}, 90 \% \mathrm{NO}$, and $0.8 \%$ HONO using the profile "HONO". There are several profiles for inventory $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$, which differ in the amount of gas-phase sulfuric acid produced from the profile. All of the $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ profiles directly pass through the $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ mass from the inventory on a 1:1 basis.

## Speciation Cross-referencing Data

Speciation cross-reference tables relate inventory sources to speciation profiles. A speciation crossreference entry includes four elements:

- 10-digit or 7-digit SCC
- Speciation profile code
- Pollutant name
- FIPS (country/state/county) code

At a minimum, entries in the speciation cross-reference files must include an SCC, pollutant name, and a profile code that exists in the speciation profiles file. Including a FIPS code in the cross-reference file entries supports location-specific assignments of speciation profiles inventory sources.

The speciation cross-reference table used for the WestJumpAQMS will originate from the data distributed with the U.S. EPA NEI2008v2 (source specific profiles tagged as version cmaq_cb05_soa_2007ea_v5_07c_12nov2009). The same assumption about consistency noted for the spatial cross-referencing table also applies to the speciation cross-reference file. One task of the WestJumpAQMS will be to verify the chemical profiles assignments for the largest sources in each inventory category. We will generate reports by state, SCC, VOC speciation profile code, and PM speciation profile code and use these reports to verify the speciation profile assignments for the top $95 \%$ of the sources in each state by inventory pollutant mass. We will qualitatively compare descriptions of the profile codes in the SPECIATE database to the descriptions of the SCCs to which they are assigned in order to evaluate the validity of the cross-reference entries. In particular we will look for sources that are assigned the default VOC and PM profiles, which are based on a vast mixture of combustion sources, and update these assignments with profiles that more closely match the emission process described by the SCC.

## Emissions Processing

The majority of the emissions processing for WestJumpAQMS will be conducted with the Sparse Matrix Operator Kernel Emissions (SMOKE) model version 3.1 beta (http://www.smoke-model.org). SMOKE is an open-source software for converting emissions inventories into the formats required for regional-scale chemistry and transport modeling. We will base the WestJumpAQMS SMOKE configuration settings on recent simulations using the NEIO8v2, including the EPA OAQPS 2008 modeling platform and the SESARM Southeast Modeling, Analysis, and Planning (SEMAP) project
(modeling protocol available at http://www.airqualitymodeling.org/semapwiki). Specifics about the SMOKE settings or configurations used for each WestJumpAQMS inventory category are available in the sector-specific memoranda referenced in the introduction of this memo.

We will define a series of emissions processing categories for the WestJumpAQMS project to facilitate the modeling and quality assurance of the inventory data. While there are four main types of inventory data (point, nonpoint, mobile, and biogenic), it is necessary to refine these categories to support special emissions modeling approaches or to provide flexibility for tagging emissions categories in source apportionment air quality modeling.

Efficiencies in the emissions modeling process are gained through consideration of the temporal variability in the emissions sources. If a processing category includes only sources that use a flat temporal profile throughout the year, meaning that the emissions are the same on every hour of every day of the year, it is possible to process a single day for that category and recycle the emissions on each day of the air quality modeling simulation. Both processing time and disk space are conserved by not producing 365 files that all contain the exact same information. Other types of temporal processing configurations that may be used for the WestJumpAQMS project include:

- Single day per year (aveday_yr)
- Single day per month (aveday_mon)
- Typical Monday, Weekday, Saturday, Sunday per year (mwdss_yr)
- Typical Monday, Weekday, Saturday, Sunday per month (mwdss_mon)
- Emissions estimated for each model simulation day (daily)
- Emissions estimated for each model simulation day with temporal profiles generated with average daily meteorology (daily met)
- Emissions estimated for each model simulation day with temporal profiles generated with hourly meteorology (hourly met)

Table 2 defines the emissions categories that we will define for the WestJumpAQMS project. The "Temporal" column in Table 2 refers to the temporal configuration that will be used for each category.

Table 2. WestJumpAQMS Emissions Processing Categories

| No. | Emissions Processing <br> Category (Abbr) | Inventory Year | Inventory <br> Source | Temporal | Processing Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Nonpoint/Area (nonpt) | 2008 | NEIO8v2 | mwdss_mon | Remove oil \& gas, agricultural NH3, and <br> dust,; includes commercial marine and <br> rail |
| 2 | Livestock NH3 (lv) | 2008 | NEI08v2 | mwdss_mon | Do not apply met-based temporal <br> profiles; separate out for possible <br> sensitivity later |
|  | Fertilizer NH3 (ft) | 2008 | NEIO8v2 | mwdss_mon | Group with Iv as a full agricultural NH3 <br> sector (ag) |
| 3 | Fugitive and Road Dust <br> (fd) | 2008 | NEI08v2 | mwdss_mon | Includes paved and unpaved road dust; <br> apply transport factors but not met <br> factors |
| 4 | Residential Wood <br> Combustion (rwc) | 2008 | NEIO8v2 | mwdss_mon | Do not apply met-based temporal <br> profiles; separate out for possible <br> sensitivity later |
| 5 | Area Oil \& Gas (og) |  | IPAMS | mwdss_mon | Basin specific speciation profiles and <br> spatial surrogates |
| 6 | Nonroad mobile (nr) | 2008 | NEI08v2 | mwdss_mon | Includes NMIM commercial marine and <br> rail |
| 7 | MOVES RPD (rpd) | 2008 | MOVES2010a | hourly met | Representative weekday and weekends <br> for each year; process as hourly area <br> sources |
| 8 | MOVES RPP (rpp) | 2008 | MOVES2010a | hourly met | Representative weekday and weekends |
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|  |  |  |  | for each year; process as hourly area <br> sources |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 9 | MOVES RPV (rpv) | 2008 | MOVES2010a | hourly met | Representative weekday and weekends <br> for each year; process as hourly area <br> sources |
| 10 | CEM Point (ptcem) | 2008 | NEIO8v2/CAMD | daily | Anomalies removed from 2008 CAMD <br> data |
| 11 | Non-CEM Point (ptncem) | 2008 | NEIO8v2 | mwdss_mon | Removed oil \& gas sources and <br> transferred to ptog sector; includes point <br> aircraft and ports |
| 12 | Point Oil \& Gas (ptog) |  | IPAMS | mwdss_mon | Combination of WRAP Phase III inventory <br> and NEIO8v2 for areas not covered by <br> WRAP EI |
| 13 | Point Fires (ptfire) | 2008 | NEI08v2 | aveday_mon | daily |
| 14 | Commercial Marine <br> (ptseca) | 2008 | Can2006/Mex2008 | Canada NPRI <br> Mexico NEI | mwdss_mon |
| 15 | Canada/Mexico Area <br> (nusar) | Mexico inventory projected from 1999 to <br> 2008 |  |  |  |
| 16 | Canada/Mexico Point <br> (nuspt) | Can2006/Mex2008 | Canada NPRI <br> Mexico NEI | mwdss_mon | Mexico inventory projected from 1999 to <br> 2008 |
| 17 | Canada/Mexico Mobile <br> (nusmb) | Can006/Mex2008 | Canada NPRI <br> Mexico NEI | mwdss_mon | Mexico inventory projected from 1999 to <br> 2008 |
| 18 | Lightning NOx (Inox) | N/A | hourly met | Gridded monthly NLCD lightning flash <br> counts converted to hourly, gridded NO <br> emissions with WRF convective rainfall |  |
| 19 | Sea salt (ss) | N/A | hourly met | Surfzone and open ocean PM emissions |  |
| 20 | Windblown Dust (wbd) | N/A | WRAP WBD <br> Model | hourly met | MEGAN2.1 |
| 21 | MEGAN Biogenic (bg) | N/A | hourly met | Use new versions of MEGAN V2.10 <br> updated by WRAP for the western U.S. |  |

## Quality Assurance

Quality assurance (QA) of the emissions modeling parameters used for the WestJumpAQMS project will be accomplished through scrutiny of the profile assignments made to specific inventory sources and analysis of the profiles applied to the largest inventory sources. Descriptions of how we will tabulate and analyze the profiles and assignments are provided in each subsection on the different types of profiles used for emissions processing. The general approach to QA of the parameters for WestJumpAQMS includes:

1. Focus on the profiles assigned to the top $95 \%$ of the emissions sources by mass. A large amount of emissions are typically associated with a relatively small number of SCCs.
2. Where possible, minimize the application of default temporal, speciation, and spatial profiles
3. Replace default profile applications with profiles that are more appropriate for the source in question. What is considered appropriate for a profile application may be somewhat subjective and will require review by WestJumpAQMS participants and stakeholders
4. Use the best available inventories and ancillary data, including information that may not be packaged with the EPA NEI2008v2. A good example here is spatial surrogates for oil and gas sources in Colorado, Utah, and Wyoming. Basin-specific Shapefiles should be used to generate the spatial surrogates for the WRAP Phase III oil and gas inventories used for WestJumpAQMS.
5. Document all changes made to the WestJumpAQMS modeling parameters in a central location to facilitate compiling these changes into a final report on the modeling conducted for this project.

Table 3. Descriptions of the Shapefiles used to generate spatial surrogates for WestJumpAQMS*

| Shapefile | Description | Type | Year | Source | URL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cty_pophu2k_revised | U.S. County Boundaries | Polygon | 2005 | U.S. <br> Census <br> Bureau | ftp://ftp.epa.gov/EmisInventory/emiss shp2006/us/ |
| pophu_bg2010 | Population/ Housing | Polygon | 2010 | U.S. <br> Census <br> Bureau | http://www.census.gov/geo/www/tiger/tgrshp2010/tgrshp2010.html |
| rd_ps_tiger2010 | Roadways | Line | 2010 | U.S. <br> Census <br> Bureau | http://www.census.gov/geo/www/tiger/tgrshp2010/tgrshp2010.html |
| waterway_ntad2011 | Waterways | Line | 2010 | U.S. <br> Bureau of <br> Transport <br> Statistics | $\underline{\text { http://www.bts.gov/publications/national transportation atlas database/2011/ }}$ |
| rail_tiger2010 | Railways | Line | 2010 | U.S. <br> Census <br> Bureau | $\underline{\text { http://www.census.gov/geo/www/tiger/tgrshp2010/tgrshp2010.html }}$ |
| exits** | Highway Exits | Point | 2010 | ESRI | Only available through ESRI Data and Maps |
| mjrrds** | Major Roads | Line | 2010 | ESRI | Only available through ESRI Data and Maps |
| transterm** | Transportation Terminals | Point | 2010 | ESRI | Only available through ESRI Data and Maps |
| fema_bsf_2002bnd | Building footprints | Polygon | 2010 | FEMA | http://www.fema.gov/plan/prevent/hazus/ |
| heating_fuels_acs0510_c2010 | Home heating fuels | Polygon | 2010 | U.S. <br> Census <br> Bureau | $\underline{\text { http://www.census.gov/acs/www/ }}$ |

*All projections = Lambert Conformal Conic ( $\mathrm{XO}=-97, \mathrm{Y} 1=33, \mathrm{Y} 2=45, \mathrm{YO}=40$ ), Datum: NAD83, unless otherwise specified
**Projection = Geographic, Datum: WGS84

Table 4. Spatial surrogate specifications

| Surrogate | Code | Weight <br> Shapefile, <br> Attribute | Data Shapefile, <br> Attribute | Filter or <br> Merge <br> Function | Updated <br> or New? | 2ry, 3ry, <br> 4ry <br> Surrogate | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Surrogate | Code | Weight Shapefile, Attribute | Data Shapefile, Attribute | Filter or Merge Function | Updated or New? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2ry, 3ry, } \\ & \text { 4ry } \\ & \text { Surrogate } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Heating Distillate Oil |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 0510_c2010, } \\ & \text { FUEL_OIL } \end{aligned}$ | d, FIPSSTCO |  |  | Population, Land Area, | Oil for primary heating: ACS 5-year 2010 survey data |
| Residential <br> Heating - Coal | 180 | heating_fuels_acs 0510_c2010, COAL | cty_pophu2k_revise <br> d, FIPSSTCO |  | Y | Housing, Population, Land Area, | Number of Housing Units using Coal for primary heating: ACS 5-year 2010 survey data |
| Residential <br> Heating - LP <br> Gas | 190 | heating_fuels_acs 0510_c2010, LP_GAS | cty_pophu2k_revise <br> d, FIPSSTCO |  | Y | Housing, Population, Land Area, | Number of Housing Units using LP Gas for primary heating: ACS 5-year 2010 survey data |
| Urban <br> Primary Road Miles | 200 | rd_ps_tiger2010 | cty_pophu2k_revise <br> d, FIPSSTCO | RDTYPE=1 | Y | Total Road Miles, Population, Land Area | Primary road miles from TIGER 2010 overlaid with Census block-level urban population |
| Highway Exit Ramps | 201 | exits | cty_pophu2k_revise <br> d, FIPSSTCO | N/A | NEW | Total Road Miles, Population, Land Area | Exit ramps on U.S. highways from ESRI DM2010; proxy to rest stops for tractor trailers |
| Local Roads | 202 | mjrrds | cty_pophu2k_revise <br> d, FIPSSTCO | FRC=4,5 | NEW | Housing, Population, Land Area | Major local roads and feeders (does not include surface streets) from ESRI DM2010 |
| Bus Stops | 203 | transterm | cty_pophu2k_revise <br> d, FIPSSTCO | FCC= D53 | NEW | Local Roads, <br> Total Road <br> Miles, Land <br> Area | Bus terminals from ESRI DM2010 |
| Rural Primary Road Miles | 210 | rd_ps_tiger2010 | cty_pophu2k_revise <br> d, FIPSSTCO | RDTYPE=2 | Y | Total Road Miles, Population, Land Area | Primary road miles from TIGER 2010 overlaid with Census block-level rural population |
| Urban Secondary Road Miles | 220 | rd_ps_tiger2010 | cty_pophu2k_revise <br> d, FIPSSTCO | RDTYPE=3 | Y | Total Road Miles, Population, Land Area | Secondary road miles from TIGER 2010 overlaid with Census blocklevel urban population |
| Rural Secondary Road Miles | 230 | rd_ps_tiger2010 | cty_pophu2k_revise <br> d, FIPSSTCO | RDTYPE=4 | Y | Total Road Miles, Population, | Secondary road miles from TIGER 2010 overlaid with Census blocklevel rural population |
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| Surrogate | Code | Weight Shapefile, Attribute | Data Shapefile, Attribute | Filter or Merge Function | Updated or New? | 2ry, 3ry, <br> 4ry <br> Surrogate | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Land Area |  |
| Total Road Miles | 240 | rd_ps_tiger2010 | cty_pophu2k_revise <br> d, FIPSSTCO | RDTYPE=1,2,3,4 | Y | Population, Land Area, None | Total road miles from TIGER 2010 |
| Urban <br> Primary plus Rural Primary | 250 | rd_ps_tiger2010 | cty_pophu2k_revise <br> d, FIPSSTCO | RDTYPE $=1,2$ | Y | Total Road Miles, Population, Land Area | Total primary road miles from TIGER 2010 |
| Off-Network MD/HD | 251 | N/A | cty_pophu2k_revise <br> d, FIPSSTCO | 0.5* Industrial Land+ 0.5*Highway Exit Ramps | NEW | Total Road Miles, Population, Land Area | Off-network MOVES medium and heavy-duty vehicles; freight loading at industrial facilities and rest areas/highway exits |
| Off-Network LD | 252 | N/A | cty_pophu2k_revise <br> d, FIPSSTCO | $0.75 *$ Commerci <br> al plus <br> Residential+ <br> 0.25*Local <br> Roads | NEW | Population, Housing, Land Area | Off-network MOVES light-duty vehicles; shopping centers, residential areas, and street parking |
| Off-Network Buses | 253 | N/A | cty_pophu2k_revise <br> d, FIPSSTCO | 0.5*Bus Stops+ 0.5*Local Roads | NEW | Housing, <br> Urban Secondary Road Miles, Land Area | Off-network MOVES buses; bus stations and local streets |
| 0.75*Total <br> Roadway <br> Miles plus <br> 0.25*Populati on | 255 | N/A | cty_pophu2k_revise <br> d, FIPSSTCO | 0.75*Total <br> Road Miles+ <br> 0.25*Populatio <br> n | Y | Population, Land Area, None | Combination of $3 / 4$ total road miles and $1 / 4$ population |
| Total Railroad Miles | 260 | rail_tiger2010 | cty_pophu2k_revise <br> d, FIPSSTCO | RRTYPE=1,2 | Y | Total Road Miles, Population, Land Area | MTFCC codes from TIGER2010 data used to identify rail lines |


| Surrogate | Code | Weight Shapefile, Attribute | Data Shapefile, Attribute | Filter or Merge Function | Updated or New? | 2ry, 3ry, <br> 4ry <br> Surrogate | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class 1 <br> Railroad Miles | 270 | rail_tiger2010 | cty_pophu2k_revise <br> d, FIPSSTCO | RRTYPE=1 | Y | Total <br> Railroad <br> Miles, Total Road Miles, Population | Railroad miles of class 1 railroads |
| Class 2 and 3 Railroad Miles | 280 | rail_tiger2010 | cty_pophu2k_revise <br> d, FIPSSTCO | RRTYPE $=2,3$ | Y | Total <br> Railroad <br> Miles, Total <br> Road Miles, <br> Population | Railroad miles of class 2 and 3 railroads |
| Low Intensity Residential | 300 | us_lowres | cty_pophu2k_revise <br> d, FIPSSTCO | GRID_CODE=21 | N | Single Family Residential, Population, Land Area | Includes areas with a mixture of constructed materials and vegetation. Constructed materials account for 30-80 percent of the cover. Vegetation may account for 20 to 70 percent of the cover. These areas most commonly include single-family housing units. |
| Total Agriculture | 310 | us_ag2k | cty_pophu2k_revise <br> d, FIPSSTCO | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GRID_CODE=61 } \\ & , 81,82,83,84 \end{aligned}$ | N | Rural Land Area, Land Area, None | Sum of the following NLCD areas: <br> Pasture/Hay, Grains, Row Crops, Fallow Land and Orchards/Vineyards |
| Total <br> Agriculture without Orchards/Vine yards | 311 | us_ag2k | cty_pophu2k_revise <br> d, FIPSSTCO | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GRID_CODE=81 } \\ & , 82,83,84 \end{aligned}$ | N | Rural Land Area, Land ARea, None | Sum of the following <br> NLCD areas: <br> Pasture/Hay, Grains, <br> Row Crops and Fallow |


| Surrogate | Code | Weight Shapefile, Attribute | Data Shapefile, Attribute | Filter or Merge Function | Updated or New? | 2ry, 3ry, <br> 4ry <br> Surrogate | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Land |
| Orchards/Vine yards | 312 | us_ag2k | cty_pophu2k_revise <br> d, FIPSSTCO | GRID_CODE=61 | N | Total <br> Agriculture, Rural Land Area, Land Area | Orchards, vineyards, and other areas planted or maintained for the production of fruits, nuts, berries, or ornamentals. |
| Forest Land | 320 | us_for 2 k | cty_pophu2k_revise <br> d, FIPSSTCO | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GRID_CODE=41 } \\ & , 42,43,91 \end{aligned}$ | N | Rural Land Area, Land Area, None | Sum of the following NLCD areas: Evergreen Forest, Mixed Forest, Woody Wetland, Deciduous Forest |
| Strip <br> Mines/Quarri es | 330 | mines_nlcd, AREA | cty_pophu2k_revise <br> d, FIPSSTCO |  | N | Mines, Rural Land Area, Land Area | Area of Mines |
| Land | 340 | us_Iw2k, AREA | cty_pophu2k_revise <br> d, FIPSSTCO | H2O_CODE=2 | N | None, None, None |  |
| State Land | 345 | us_lw2k, AREA | state_pophu02, STATE | H2O_CODE=2 | N | None, None, None |  |
| Water | 350 | us_lw2k, AREA | cty_pophu2k_revise <br> d, FIPSSTCO | H2O_CODE! $=2$ | N | Navigable <br> Waterway <br> Miles, Land <br> Area, None | Water Area |
| Rural Land Area | 400 | rural_land, AREA | cty_pophu2k_revise <br> d, FIPSSTCO | RL_FLAG=Rural Land | N | Land Area, None, None | Land Area that is not within an area designated as an Urbanized Area or an Urban Cluster. Determined by intersecting NLCD land area with US Census spatial information representing areas not classified as Urbanized Area or as Urban Clusters |
| Commercial Land | 500 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { fema_bsf_2002bn } \\ & \text { d } \end{aligned}$ | cty_pophu2k_revise <br> d, FIPSSTCO | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COM1+COM2+ } \\ & \text { COM3+COM4+ } \\ & \text { COM5+COM6+ } \\ & \text { COM7+COM8+ } \\ & \text { COM9 } \end{aligned}$ | Y | Population, Land Area, None | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sum of building square footage } \\ & \text { from the following FEMA } \\ & \text { categories: COM1 + COM2 + COM3 } \\ & + \text { COM } 4+\text { COM } 5+\text { COM } 6+\text { COM }+ \\ & \text { COM8 + COM9 } \end{aligned}$ |


| Surrogate | Code | Weight <br> Shapefile, <br> Attribute | Data Shapefile, <br> Attribute | Filter or <br> Merge <br> Function | Updated <br> or New? | 2ry, 3ry, <br> 4ry <br> Surrogate | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|}\hline \text { Surrogate } & \text { Code } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Weight } \\ \text { Shapefile, } \\ \text { Attribute }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Data Shapefile, } \\ \text { Attribute }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Filter or } \\ \text { Merge } \\ \text { Function }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Updated } \\ \text { or New? }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { 2ry, 3ry, } \\ \text { 4ry } \\ \text { Surrogate }\end{array} & \text { Description }\end{array}\right\}$

| Surrogate | Code | Weight <br> Shapefile, <br> Attribute | Data Shapefile, Attribute | Filter or Merge Function | Updated or New? | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 2ry, 3ry, } \\ & \text { 4ry } \\ & \text { Surrogate } \end{aligned}$ | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Repair (COM3) |  | d | d, FIPSSTCO |  |  | Land, Population, Land Area | Personal/Repair Services: SIC Codes: 72,75,76,83,88 |
| Retail Trade (COM1) plus Personal Repair (COM3) | 550 | fema_bsf_2002bn d | cty_pophu2k_revise <br> d, FIPSSTCO | COM1+COM3 | Y | Commercial <br> Land, <br> Population, <br> Land Area | sum of building square footage from the following FEMA categories: COM1 + COM3 |
| Professional/T echnical (COM4) plus General Government (GOV1) | 555 | fema_bsf_2002bn d | cty_pophu2k_revise <br> d, FIPSSTCO | COMV4+GOV1 | Y | Commercial <br> Land, <br> Population, <br> Land Area | sum of building square footage from the following FEMA categories: COM4 + GOV1 |
| Hospital (COM6) | 560 | fema_bsf_2002bn d | cty_pophu2k_revise <br> d, FIPSSTCO | COM6 | Y | Commercial Land, Population, Land Area | building square footage from Hospitals: SIC Codes: 8062,8063,8069 |
| Medical Office/Clinic (COM7) | 565 | fema_bsf_2002bn d | cty_pophu2k_revise <br> d, FIPSSTCO | COM7 | Y | Commercial Land, Population, Land Area | building square footage from <br> Medical Office/Clinics: SIC Codes: <br> 80 (except <br> 8051,8052,8059,8062,8063,8069) |
| Heavy and High Tech Industrial (IND1 + IND5) | 570 | $\qquad$ | cty_pophu2k_revise <br> d, FIPSSTCO | IND1+IND5 | Y | Industrial <br> Land, <br> Population, <br> Land Area | sum of building square footage from the following FEMA categories: IND1 + IND5 |
| Light and High Tech Industrial (IND2 + IND5) | 575 | fema_bsf_2002bn d | cty_pophu2k_revise <br> d, FIPSSTCO | IND2+IND5 | Y | Industrial <br> Land, <br> Population, <br> Land Area | sum of building square footage from the following FEMA categories: IND2 + IND5 |
| "Food, Drug, Chemical Industrial (IND3)" | 580 | $\qquad$ | cty_pophu2k_revise <br> d, FIPSSTCO | IND3 | Y | Industrial <br> Land, <br> Population, <br> Land Area | building square footage from Food/Drugs/Chemical Factories: SIC Codes: 20,21,28,29 |

$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|}\hline \text { Surrogate } & \text { Code } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Weight } \\ \text { Shapefile, } \\ \text { Attribute }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Data Shapefile, } \\ \text { Attribute }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Filter or } \\ \text { Merge } \\ \text { Function }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Updated } \\ \text { or New? }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { 2ry, 3ry, } \\ \text { 4ry } \\ \text { Surrogate }\end{array} & \text { Description }\end{array}\right\}$

| Surrogate | Code | Weight Shapefile, Attribute | Data Shapefile, Attribute | Filter or Merge Function | Updated or New? | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 2ry, 3ry, } \\ & \text { 4ry } \\ & \text { Surrogate } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AREA | d, FIPSSTCO |  |  | Points, Population, Land Area |  |
| Military <br> Airports | 720 | military_air | cty_pophu2k_revise <br> d, FIPSSTCO |  | N | Airport <br> Points, <br> Population, <br> Land Area | Number of Military Airports |
| Marine Ports | 800 | ports_ntad2010 | cty_pophu2k_revise <br> d, FIPSSTCO e | N/A | Y | Navigable <br> Waterway <br> Miles, <br> Water, Land Area | NTAD 2010 dataset of number of ports, not just marine, but also inland lakes and rivers |
| Navigable Waterway Miles | 807 | waterway_ntad20 10, LENGTH | cty_pophu2k_revise <br> d, FIPSSTCO |  | Y | Marine <br> Ports, <br> Water, Land <br> Area | NTAD 2010 dataset of navigable inland and intracoastal waterways, used for gapfilling |
| Navigable Waterway Activity | 810 | nav_water_activit y, CTY_ACTIV | cty_pophu2k_revise <br> d, FIPSSTCO |  | N | Navigable <br> Waterway <br> Miles, <br> Marine <br> Ports, Water | Miles of waterways - navigable inland and intracoastal waterways |
| Golf Courses | 850 | us_golf | cty_pophu2k_revise <br> d, FIPSSTCO |  | N | Housing, Population, Land Area | Number of Golf Courses |
| Mines | 860 | mines_usgs | cty_pophu2k_revise <br> d, FIPSSTCO |  | N | Strip <br> Mines/Quarr ies, Rural Land Area, Land Area | Number of mines |
| Construction and Mining | 861 |  | cty_pophu2k_revise <br> d, FIPSSTCO | 0.5*Housing Change and Population+0. 5*Mines | NEW | Population, Land Area, None |  |
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| Surrogate | Code | Weight Shapefile, Attribute | Data Shapefile, Attribute | Filter or Merge Function | Updated or New? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2ry, 3ry, } \\ & \text { 4ry } \\ & \text { Surrogate } \end{aligned}$ | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wastewater <br> Treatment <br> Facilities | 870 | us_wwtp | cty_pophu2k_revise <br> d, FIPSSTCO |  | N | Commercial Plus <br> Industrial, <br> Population, <br> Land Area | Number of Wastewater Treatment Plants (WWTP) |
| Drycleaners | 880 | us_dryclean, NO_EST | cty_pophu2k_revise <br> d, FIPSSTCO |  | $N$ | Commercial <br> Land, <br> Population, <br> Land Area | Number of Dry Cleaners |
| Commercial Timber | 890 |  | cty_pophu2k_revise <br> d, FIPSSTCO |  | N | Forest Land, <br> Rural Land <br> Area, <br> Population | Number of Possible Timber Removal Locations |

## Summary of Results

Tables 4 through 8 summarize the WestJumpAQMS 2008 emissions for $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{VOC}, \mathrm{NH}_{3}, \mathrm{SO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{PM}_{2.5}$, respectively. The emissions are presented by state and then the total across all states in the contiguous U.S. The emission summaries are broken down by the following major source categories:

- Area: Represents Area Sources that are called Non-Point Sources in the 2008 NEI inventory (Discussed in Technical Memorandums \#2 and \#4e).
- Area-O\&G: Area source oil and gas that is just from the WRAP Phase III Basins. Oil and gas area source emissions outside of the WRAP Phase III Basins are under the Area category (Discussed in Technical Memorandums \#4a-4d).
- Dust: Fugitive dust emissions from the 2008 NEI (e.g., road dust and mechanically generated dust; Discussed in Technical Memorandum \#6).
- Biogenic: Annual biogenic emissions from MEGAN using grid cell definitions of states (Discussed in Technical Memorandum \#9).
- Off-Road: Off-road mobile source emissions from 2008 NEI (EPA NONROAD; Discussed in Technical Memorandum \#2).
- On-Road: On-road mobile sources emissions using MOVES and EMFAC2011 (California) (Discussed in Technical Memorandum \#3).
- Fires: Fire emissions from the Fire INventory from NCAR (FINN) (Discussed in Technical Memorandum \#5).
- WBD: Wind Blown Dust (WBD) emissions from the WRAP WBD model (Discussed in Technical Memorandum \#6).
- PT-O\&G: Point source oil and gas that is just from the WRAP Phase III Basins. Oil and gas point source emissions outside of the WRAP Phase III Basins (from NEI) are under the PTNCEM category (Discussed in Technical Memorandums \#4a-4d).
- PTCEM: Point sources with Continuous Emissions Monitoring (CEM) devices whose hourly emissions are from the EPA CAMD website (Discussed in Technical Memorandum \#1).
- PTNCEM: Non-CEM point sources from the 2008 NEI (Discussed in Technical Memorandum \#1 and \#4e).

Across the contiguous U.S. in 2008 there was 18.12 million (18.12M) tons per year $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ emissions (Table 4a). The five largest emitting states were Texas (1.57M), California (1.13M), Florida ( 1.57 M ), Ohio ( 0.76 M ) and Georgia ( 0.72 M ) whose emissions represent $29 \%$ of the total U.S. $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ emissions in 2008. Table 4b displays the percent contribution of each major source category to the total $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ emissions by state and then for all states combined. Across the U.S., on-road mobile (42\%) is the largest contributor to total $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ emissions followed by PTCEM
(17\%), area (12\%), off-road (11\%) and PTNCEM (11\%). The dominant source category contribution by state depends on the population and amount of coal-fired electrical generation. For most states, on-road mobile is the largest contributor, although West Virginia has more PTCEM (43\%) than on-road mobile $\mathrm{NO}_{x}(25 \%)$. California, on the other hand, has essentially no PTCEM $\mathrm{NO}_{x}(0.3 \%)$ with almost half the $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ being from on-road mobile (46\%). At the other extreme are Tribal lands whose $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ is dominated by PTCEM (90\%), presumably due to the inclusion of the Navajo power plant and the exclusion of on-road and non-road mobile and WRAP Phase III O\&G that are assigned to the states where they reside.

There is 44.43 M tons per year of VOC emissions in the contiguous U.S. of which two thirds ( 29.54 M ) is from biogenic sources (Table 5). Texas has the highest total VOC emissions ( 6.34 M ) that is nearly three times higher than the next highest state (Georgia with 2.23M). This is due in part to Texas having high biogenic VOC emissions (4.09M) that is over double what the next highest state has (again Georgia with 1.81 M ). When just looking at anthropogenic VOC emissions, the five highest VOC emitting states in 2008 were Texas ( 2.25 M ), California (1.05M), Florida ( 0.83 M ), New York ( 0.58 M ) and Georgia ( 0.51 M ). These five states emit $35 \%$ of the total U.S. anthropogenic VOC emissions. As noted previously, across the U.S. biogenic VOC contribute $67 \%$ of the total VOCs. Biogenic emissions are a larger percentage of a state's total VOC emissions for sparsely populated states and states in the south and lowest relative contribution for more populated states in the northeast. Biogenic emissions of VOC also have a strong seasonal signal with most of the emissions occurring in the late spring through early fall and peaking in the summer. Just looking at anthropogenic VOC emissions across the contiguous U.S., area sources are the largest contributor (34\%), followed by on-road (23\%), off-road (17\%), and area source O\&G (13\%). Note that for states with the WRAP Phase III O\&G emission updates, the O\&G VOC is a significant contributor to the state-wide anthropogenic VOC emissions: Colorado (44\%), New Mexico (69\%), Utah (43\%), and Wyoming (66\%). Texas and Oklahoma, which had O\&G area and point source updates in the NEI, also have significant O\&G VOC contributions ( $58 \%$ and $50 \%$, respectively). Note that some other states with large O\&G emissions may also have significant O\&G VOC emissions, but since the emissions are below the reporting threshold their emissions are not included in the 2008 NEI (see Technical Memorandum\#4e).

There is 4.00 M tons per year of ammonia ( $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ) emissions across the contiguous U.S. (Table 6) with a vast majority ( 3.77 M or $94 \%$ ) coming from the Area Source sector that includes the agricultural livestock and fertilizer categories (see Technical Memorandum\#8). Across the U.S. there are small amounts of ammonia emissions from on-road mobile (3.5\%) and point sources (1.3\% for PTCEM and PTNCEM). For states in the Northeast Corridor that have large amounts of mobile sources and not as much livestock and fertilizer application, on-road mobile tends to be the largest contributor. However, these Northeast States tend to have the lowest amounts of ammonia emissions. The five states with the highest amounts of ammonia emissions are California $(9.34 \mathrm{M})$, Texas ( 0.31 M ), Iowa ( 0.30 M ), Minnesota ( 0.19 M ) and Nebraska ( 0.18 M ), which are all states known for having large amounts of livestock and agriculture.

There is approximately 10 M tons per year of $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ emissions across the contiguous U.S. of which $7.7 \mathrm{M}(77 \%)$ is due to the PTCEM electrical generation sector. The ten highest emitting $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ states are known for having the highest number of coal-fire electricity generating units and contribute $58 \%$ of the U.S. $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ emissions with each state have total $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ emissions ranging from
0.98 M to 0.38 M tons per year (from highest to lowest the top ten $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ emission states are: PA , $\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{IN}, \mathrm{TX}, \mathrm{GA}, \mathrm{AL}, \mathrm{MO}, \mathrm{MI}, \mathrm{IL}$ and KY ).

Table 8 summarizes the primary $\mathrm{PM}_{2.5}$ emissions by state. Total contiguous U.S. $\mathrm{PM}_{2.5}$ emissions are 4.62 M tons per year that are spread out across several source categories: Fugitive Dust (26\%), Fires (18\%), Area (16\%), Windblown Dust (11\%), PTNCEM (9\%) and PTCEM (7\%). The five highest emitting primary $\mathrm{PM}_{2.5}$ states are California ( 0.45 M ), Texas ( 0.42 M ), Florida ( 0.18 M ), Kansas ( 0.18 M ) and Ohio ( 0.16 M ) who together emit $30 \%$ of the U.S. $\mathrm{PM}_{2.5}$ emissions. However, the source category with the highest $\mathrm{PM}_{2.5}$ contribution varies by state. For example, for California it is Fires (58\%), for Texas it is Fugitive Dust (41\%), for Florida it is Fires (39\%), for Kansas it is Fugitive Dust (44\%) and for Ohio it is PTCEM (27\%).
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Table 4a. Summary of 2008 NOx emissions (tons per year) by state and major source category (not shown are 678,716 TPY near-shore and 475,000 TPY off-shore commercial marine vessel and Mexico/Canada emissions).

| State | Area | Area-O\&G | Dust | Biogenic | Off-Road | On-Road | Fires | WBD | PT-O\&G | PTCEM | PTNCEM | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama | 38,509 | - | - | 11,746 | 25,981 | 251,996 | 16,561 | - | - | 112,812 | 67,489 | 525,093 |
| Arizona | 39,403 | - | - | 15,256 | 33,857 | 137,555 | 3,513 | - | - | 42,769 | 18,157 | 290,511 |
| Arkansas | 27,030 | 6,183 | - | 12,677 | 24,867 | 81,057 | 13,367 | - | - | 37,269 | 37,680 | 240,130 |
| California | 153,233 | 2,221 | - | 18,218 | 233,142 | 513,028 | 113,934 | - | - | 3,725 | 91,265 | 1,128,764 |
| Colorado | 22,852 | 27,048 | - | 9,542 | 31,360 | 129,591 | 1,674 | - | 21,205 | 61,560 | 25,392 | 330,224 |
| Connecticut | 14,884 | - | - | 347 | 15,921 | 134,539 | 8 | - | - | 3,789 | 4,709 | 174,197 |
| Delaware | 4,709 | - | - | 523 | 4,980 | 35,937 | 46 | - | - | 9,197 | 4,714 | 60,108 |
| District of Columbia | 1,730 | - | - | 10 | 2,693 | 12,649 | 0 | - | - | - | 598 | 17,681 |
| Florida | 40,057 | - | - | 41,393 | 108,275 | 561,917 | 34,422 | - | - | 160,297 | 56,579 | 1,002,940 |
| Georgia | 40,657 | - | - | 16,095 | 50,662 | 444,346 | 19,042 | - | - | 105,556 | 45,512 | 721,870 |
| Idaho | 19,869 | - | - | 4,806 | 14,129 | 44,554 | 11,952 | - | - | - | 12,706 | 108,016 |
| Illionis | 101,752 | - | - | 15,864 | 90,120 | 268,604 | 1,721 | - | - | 123,594 | 82,042 | 683,697 |
| Indiana | 53,493 | - | - | 8,991 | 56,127 | 207,414 | 1,039 | - | - | 196,119 | 69,568 | 592,751 |
| Iowa | 31,431 | - | - | 13,704 | 57,103 | 83,250 | 1,072 | - | - | 48,886 | 40,947 | 276,393 |
| Kansas | 53,041 | - | - | 28,199 | 42,020 | 77,939 | 13,325 | - | - | 52,716 | 53,373 | 320,613 |
| Kentucky | 39,461 | - | - | 8,918 | 27,971 | 239,040 | 1,683 | - | - | 157,246 | 40,490 | 514,810 |
| Louisiana | 175,628 | - | - | 12,782 | 25,880 | 97,757 | 18,727 | - | - | 49,270 | 144,065 | 524,109 |
| Maine | 14,395 | - | - | 1,430 | 7,356 | 40,206 | 30 | - | - | 585 | 16,212 | 80,215 |
| Maryland | 28,439 | - | - | 1,928 | 25,261 | 114,574 | 228 | - | - | 36,608 | 21,841 | 228,879 |
| Massachusetts | 30,409 | - | - | 407 | 26,309 | 121,138 | 132 | - | - | 9,489 | 13,823 | 201,707 |
| Michigan | 68,061 | - | - | 5,715 | 65,730 | 264,786 | 480 | - | - | 103,217 | 78,779 | 586,768 |
| Minnesota | 53,516 | - | - | 8,957 | 60,928 | 144,617 | 1,824 | - | - | 59,774 | 59,208 | 388,823 |
| Mississippi | 29,130 | - | - | 12,969 | 19,489 | 99,171 | 10,974 | - | - | 40,993 | 53,501 | 266,226 |
| Missouri | 64,829 | - | - | 18,535 | 47,642 | 182,342 | 3,769 | - | - | 88,246 | 45,497 | 450,859 |
| Montana | 25,777 | 332 | - | 12,953 | 16,910 | 29,931 | 4,627 | - | 379 | 28,354 | 14,249 | 133,512 |
| Nebraska | 74,124 | - | - | 17,994 | 36,062 | 52,451 | 1,365 | - | - | 43,052 | 14,214 | 239,261 |
| Nevada | 11,321 | - | - | 7,364 | 17,081 | 50,068 | 702 | - | - | 16,002 | 14,127 | 116,665 |
| New Hampshire | 6,426 | - | - | 411 | 7,156 | 26,275 | 15 | - | - | 4,635 | 2,334 | 47,252 |
| New Jersey | 84,584 | - | - | 852 | 36,687 | 155,562 | 405 | - | - | 11,890 | 16,970 | 306,951 |
| New Mexico | 27,754 | 35,838 | - | 15,983 | 8,566 | 72,074 | 1,654 | - | 31,129 | 26,930 | 4,456 | 224,383 |
| New York | 100,561 | - | - | 3,546 | 71,841 | 307,553 | 258 | - | - | 31,595 | 47,562 | 562,916 |
| North Carolina | 30,033 | - | - | 10,803 | 52,900 | 235,470 | 10,658 | - | - | 55,878 | 40,872 | 436,614 |
| North Dakota | 16,719 | - | - | 9,133 | 34,572 | 22,879 | 2,884 | - | - | 66,849 | 11,434 | 164,469 |
| Ohio | 91,078 | - | - | 6,646 | 74,636 | 288,475 | 1,076 | - | - | 235,229 | 66,386 | 763,525 |
| Oklahoma | 34,757 | 68,744 | - | 26,325 | 27,736 | 117,681 | 8,449 | - | - | 79,716 | 65,476 | 428,885 |
| Oregon | 24,121 | - | - | 5,560 | 23,463 | 98,399 | 13,968 | - | - | 9,463 | 14,124 | 189,098 |
| Pennsylvania | 80,021 | - | - | 5,149 | 53,635 | 244,630 | 1,283 | - | - | 185,496 | 70,686 | 640,900 |
| Rhode Island | 4,931 | - | - | 38 | 4,086 | 18,869 | 30 | - | - | 199 | 1,323 | 29,475 |
| South Carolina | 19,225 | - | - | 8,580 | 25,776 | 124,855 | 6,448 | - | - | 43,929 | 29,119 | 257,931 |
| South Dakota | 5,904 | - | - | 14,758 | 24,699 | 26,865 | 765 | - | - | 13,852 | 2,539 | 89,382 |
| Tennessee | 53,817 | - | - | 9,299 | 35,879 | 182,233 | 2,938 | - | - | 84,204 | 47,817 | 416,185 |
| Texas | 135,207 | 248,359 | - | 86,105 | 163,763 | 519,964 | 23,056 | - | 38,934 | 157,388 | 198,111 | 1,570,886 |
| Utah | 17,269 | 12,521 | - | 6,144 | 13,249 | 64,186 | 996 | - | 3,023 | 61,308 | 23,356 | 202,053 |
| Vermont | 4,539 | - | - | 524 | 3,656 | 20,658 | 20 | - | - | 296 | 206 | 29,899 |
| Virginia | 51,231 | - | - | 6,465 | 39,017 | 181,383 | 4,499 | - | - | 42,655 | 53,160 | 378,411 |
| Washington | 50,287 | - | - | 3,845 | 38,096 | 139,989 | 5,288 | - | - | 10,804 | 27,689 | 275,999 |
| West Virginia | 31,383 | - | - | 2,091 | 7,339 | 57,757 | 1,147 | - | - | 99,344 | 34,725 | 233,787 |
| Wisconsin | 43,994 | - | - | 6,500 | 47,302 | 160,748 | 707 | - | - | 48,261 | 40,926 | 348,439 |
| Wyoming | 37,685 | 22,526 | - | 6,928 | 4,848 | 27,211 | 5,370 | - | 23,456 | 74,762 | 24,016 | 226,802 |
| Tribal Data | 251 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 82,241 | 8,870 | 91,362 |
| Grand Total | 2,209,516 | 423,772 | - | 543,008 | 1,966,761 | 7,514,176 | 368,132 | $-$ | 118,126 | 3,018,047 | 1,958,894 | 18,120,431 |
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Table 4b. Percent contribution of source categories to $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ emissions by state.

| State | Area | Area-O\&G | Dust | Biogenic | Off-Road | On-Road | Fires | WBD | PT-O\&G | PTCEM | PTNCEM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama | 7.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 4.9\% | 48.0\% | 3.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 21.5\% | 12.9\% |
| Arizona | 13.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.3\% | 11.7\% | 47.3\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.7\% | 6.2\% |
| Arkansas | 11.3\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 5.3\% | 10.4\% | 33.8\% | 5.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 15.5\% | 15.7\% |
| California | 13.6\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 20.7\% | 45.5\% | 10.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 8.1\% |
| Colorado | 6.9\% | 8.2\% | 0.0\% | 2.9\% | 9.5\% | 39.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 6.4\% | 18.6\% | 7.7\% |
| Connecticut | 8.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 9.1\% | 77.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 2.7\% |
| Delaware | 7.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 8.3\% | 59.8\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 15.3\% | 7.8\% |
| District of Columbia | 9.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 15.2\% | 71.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.4\% |
| Florida | 4.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.1\% | 10.8\% | 56.0\% | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.0\% | 5.6\% |
| Georgia | 5.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 7.0\% | 61.6\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.6\% | 6.3\% |
| Idaho | 18.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.4\% | 13.1\% | 41.2\% | 11.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 11.8\% |
| Illionis | 14.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 13.2\% | 39.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 18.1\% | 12.0\% |
| Indiana | 9.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 9.5\% | 35.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 33.1\% | 11.7\% |
| lowa | 11.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.0\% | 20.7\% | 30.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 17.7\% | 14.8\% |
| Kansas | 16.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.8\% | 13.1\% | 24.3\% | 4.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.4\% | 16.6\% |
| Kentucky | 7.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 5.4\% | 46.4\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 30.5\% | 7.9\% |
| Louisiana | 33.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 4.9\% | 18.7\% | 3.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.4\% | 27.5\% |
| Maine | 17.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 9.2\% | 50.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 20.2\% |
| Maryland | 12.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 11.0\% | 50.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.0\% | 9.5\% |
| Massachusetts | 15.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 13.0\% | 60.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.7\% | 6.9\% |
| Michigan | 11.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 11.2\% | 45.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 17.6\% | 13.4\% |
| Minnesota | 13.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 15.7\% | 37.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 15.4\% | 15.2\% |
| Mississippi | 10.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.9\% | 7.3\% | 37.3\% | 4.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 15.4\% | 20.1\% |
| Missouri | 14.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.1\% | 10.6\% | 40.4\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 19.6\% | 10.1\% |
| Montana | 19.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 9.7\% | 12.7\% | 22.4\% | 3.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 21.2\% | 10.7\% |
| Nebraska | 31.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.5\% | 15.1\% | 21.9\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 18.0\% | 5.9\% |
| Nevada | 9.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.3\% | 14.6\% | 42.9\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 13.7\% | 12.1\% |
| New Hampshire | 13.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 15.1\% | 55.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.8\% | 4.9\% |
| New Jersey | 27.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 12.0\% | 50.7\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.9\% | 5.5\% |
| New Mexico | 12.4\% | 16.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.1\% | 3.8\% | 32.1\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 13.9\% | 12.0\% | 2.0\% |
| New York | 17.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 12.8\% | 54.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.6\% | 8.4\% |
| North Carolina | 6.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 12.1\% | 53.9\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 12.8\% | 9.4\% |
| North Dakota | 10.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.6\% | 21.0\% | 13.9\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 40.6\% | 7.0\% |
| Ohio | 11.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 9.8\% | 37.8\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 30.8\% | 8.7\% |
| Oklahoma | 8.1\% | 16.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.1\% | 6.5\% | 27.4\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 18.6\% | 15.3\% |
| Oregon | 12.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.9\% | 12.4\% | 52.0\% | 7.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.0\% | 7.5\% |
| Pennsylvania | 12.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 8.4\% | 38.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 28.9\% | 11.0\% |
| Rhode Island | 16.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 13.9\% | 64.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 4.5\% |
| South Carolina | 7.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 10.0\% | 48.4\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 17.0\% | 11.3\% |
| South Dakota | 6.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.5\% | 27.6\% | 30.1\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 15.5\% | 2.8\% |
| Tennessee | 12.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 8.6\% | 43.8\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 20.2\% | 11.5\% |
| Texas | 8.6\% | 15.8\% | 0.0\% | 5.5\% | 10.4\% | 33.1\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 10.0\% | 12.6\% |
| Utah | 8.5\% | 6.2\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 6.6\% | 31.8\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 30.3\% | 11.6\% |
| Vermont | 15.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 12.2\% | 69.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.7\% |
| Virginia | 13.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 10.3\% | 47.9\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 11.3\% | 14.0\% |
| Washington | 18.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 13.8\% | 50.7\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.9\% | 10.0\% |
| West Virginia | 13.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 3.1\% | 24.7\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 42.5\% | 14.9\% |
| Wisconsin | 12.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 13.6\% | 46.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 13.9\% | 11.7\% |
| Wyoming | 16.6\% | 9.9\% | 0.0\% | 3.1\% | 2.1\% | 12.0\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 10.3\% | 33.0\% | 10.6\% |
| Tribal Data | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 90.0\% | 9.7\% |
| Grand Total | 12.2\% | 2.3\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 10.9\% | 41.5\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 16.7\% | 10.8\% |
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Table 5a. Summary of 2008 VOC emissions (tons per year) by state and major source category (not shown are 25,259 TPY near-shore and 17,165 TPY off-shore commercial marine vessel and Mexico/Canada emissions).

| State | Area | Area-O\&G | Dust | Biogenic | Off-Road | On-Road | Fires | WBD | PT-O\&G | PTCEM | PTNCEM | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama | 76,980 | - | - | 1,762,020 | 47,313 | 115,402 | 30,208 | - | - | 1,037 | 31,249 | 2,064,208 |
| Arizona | 100,256 | 12 | - | 686,255 | 42,297 | 54,589 | 4,989 | - | - | 492 | 3,006 | 891,897 |
| Arkansas | 78,116 | 1,250 | - | 1,527,879 | 32,580 | 35,817 | 46,272 | - | - | 488 | 27,552 | 1,749,953 |
| California | 297,201 | 15,149 | - | 1,230,279 | 164,441 | 198,383 | 331,443 | - | - | 428 | 41,989 | 2,279,313 |
| Colorado | 67,133 | 68,895 | - | 275,328 | 34,301 | 55,953 | 3,732 | - | 79,847 | 508 | 29,160 | 614,856 |
| Connecticut | 34,918 | - | - | 80,798 | 24,291 | 57,602 | 13 | - | - | 151 | 1,099 | 198,871 |
| Delaware | 10,126 | - | - | 23,155 | 8,343 | 15,416 | 139 | - | - | 80 | 3,060 | 60,318 |
| District of Columbia | 5,926 | - | - | 1,277 | 1,461 | 5,748 | 0 | - | - | - | 70 | 14,482 |
| Florida | 271,935 | - | - | 1,300,657 | 184,173 | 271,441 | 71,868 | - | - | 1,909 | 31,442 | 2,133,426 |
| Georgia | 193,060 | 2 | - | 1,812,923 | 65,986 | 191,315 | 37,034 | - | - | 1,563 | 24,257 | 2,326,140 |
| Idaho | 89,706 | - | - | 240,280 | 21,971 | 18,852 | 35,143 | - | - | - | 1,168 | 407,120 |
| Illionis | 220,505 | - | - | 340,743 | 86,268 | 121,532 | 6,502 | - | - | 1,611 | 49,787 | 826,948 |
| Indiana | 157,552 | - | - | 246,726 | 47,016 | 94,503 | 4,003 | - | - | 1,954 | 37,688 | 589,442 |
| lowa | 72,253 | - | - | 205,546 | 37,382 | 40,382 | 4,948 | - | - | 642 | 21,854 | 383,007 |
| Kansas | 74,796 | - | - | 303,333 | 19,675 | 35,329 | 35,743 | - | - | 743 | 18,017 | 487,636 |
| Kentucky | 65,753 | - | - | 607,945 | 34,537 | 102,156 | 4,506 | - | - | 1,598 | 44,025 | 860,519 |
| Louisiana | 142,759 | 1,627 | - | 1,366,208 | 52,974 | 49,730 | 45,123 | - | - | 1,089 | 67,684 | 1,727,193 |
| Maine | 27,547 | - | - | 235,236 | 29,353 | 17,703 | 65 | - | - | 34 | 4,150 | 314,086 |
| Maryland | 69,461 | - | - | 185,604 | 38,551 | 51,039 | 484 | - | - | 330 | 2,873 | 348,343 |
| Massachusetts | 86,801 | - | - | 95,444 | 41,113 | 52,645 | 235 | - | - | 331 | 4,012 | 280,582 |
| Michigan | 191,730 | 10,505 | - | 373,995 | 138,301 | 132,274 | 934 | - | - | 1,127 | 28,008 | 876,873 |
| Minnesota | 117,043 | - | - | 449,437 | 86,508 | 72,627 | 7,962 | - | - | 637 | 22,448 | 756,663 |
| Mississippi | 62,248 | - | - | 1,611,910 | 32,271 | 44,474 | 29,856 | - | - | 551 | 32,378 | 1,813,687 |
| Missouri | 124,875 | - | - | 1,262,144 | 51,348 | 81,169 | 13,759 | - | - | 1,571 | 16,512 | 1,551,376 |
| Montana | 18,512 | 204 | - | 305,432 | 12,449 | 13,231 | 13,434 | - | 136 | 395 | 4,150 | 367,944 |
| Nebraska | 45,187 | - | - | 167,093 | 15,104 | 24,272 | 6,281 | - | - | 436 | 3,563 | 261,936 |
| Nevada | 40,973 | - | - | 262,912 | 18,783 | 21,302 | 1,127 | - | - | 159 | 2,801 | 348,056 |
| New Hampshire | 22,282 | - | - | 91,432 | 19,528 | 13,952 | 15 | - | - | 93 | 692 | 147,993 |
| New Jersey | 104,950 | - | - | 104,571 | 60,061 | 68,286 | 761 | - | - | 135 | 9,642 | 348,407 |
| New Mexico | 37,395 | 174,990 | - | 468,258 | 11,383 | 29,629 | 2,677 | - | 7,573 | 281 | 2,006 | 734,193 |
| New York | 276,502 | - | - | 341,530 | 159,616 | 134,192 | 487 | - | - | 747 | 6,996 | 920,069 |
| North Carolina | 179,251 | - | - | 1,118,947 | 72,837 | 103,811 | 22,312 | - | - | 969 | 38,171 | 1,536,298 |
| North Dakota | 21,194 | - | - | 118,195 | 11,892 | 10,928 | 13,873 | - | - | 744 | 3,142 | 179,968 |
| Ohio | 179,500 | - | - | 322,698 | 80,466 | 129,332 | 2,443 | - | - | 1,305 | 31,308 | 747,052 |
| Oklahoma | 60,527 | 190,550 | - | 949,937 | 30,881 | 54,459 | 15,942 | - | - | 1,044 | 24,655 | 1,327,994 |
| Oregon | 63,741 | - | - | 339,630 | 33,308 | 39,649 | 41,271 | - | - | 226 | 8,351 | 526,176 |
| Pennsylvania | 200,885 | - | - | 428,612 | 94,997 | 116,818 | 2,487 | - | - | 724 | 28,592 | 873,115 |
| Rhode Island | 10,258 | - | - | 8,317 | 6,332 | 8,163 | 68 | - | - | 8 | 1,191 | 34,337 |
| South Carolina | 97,066 | - | - | 910,898 | 39,523 | 52,834 | 11,748 | - | - | 546 | 24,539 | 1,137,153 |
| South Dakota | 27,164 | - | - | 151,342 | 10,827 | 11,521 | 2,833 | - | - | 126 | 2,430 | 206,244 |
| Tennessee | 110,817 | - | - | 852,463 | 50,671 | 79,157 | 7,754 | - | - | 886 | 37,110 | 1,138,859 |
| Texas | 409,731 | 1,299,083 | - | 4,094,242 | 152,377 | 229,629 | 39,683 | - | 4,118 | 3,601 | 108,514 | 6,340,979 |
| Utah | 72,811 | 96,412 | - | 237,799 | 23,213 | 27,138 | 1,977 | - | 2,619 | 275 | 6,409 | 468,653 |
| Vermont | 11,303 | - | - | 66,394 | 10,152 | 8,469 | 37 | - | - | 33 | 458 | 96,845 |
| Virginia | 140,761 | - | - | 823,308 | 52,186 | 83,170 | 9,501 | - | - | 548 | 27,386 | 1,136,860 |
| Washington | 102,173 | - | - | 224,471 | 52,264 | 59,343 | 16,533 | - | - | 15 | 12,726 | 467,525 |
| West Virginia | 27,945 | - | - | 411,187 | 16,711 | 23,538 | 2,455 | - | - | 1,191 | 10,926 | 493,951 |
| Wisconsin | 135,333 | - | - | 338,335 | 97,471 | 75,111 | 1,828 | - | - | 1,032 | 30,522 | 679,632 |
| Wyoming | 11,719 | 103,208 | - | 177,044 | 9,081 | 10,760 | 14,792 | - | 9,441 | 827 | 10,526 | 347,397 |
| Tribal Data | 1,448 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 572 | 880 | 2,900 |
| Grand Total | 5,048,106 | 1,961,886 | - | 29,540,164 | 2,464,564 | 3,344,778 | 947,278 | - | 103,734 | 35,791 | 981,174 | 44,427,474 |
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Table 5b. Percent contribution of source categories to VOC emissions by state.

| State | Area | Area-O\&E |  | Biogenic | Off-Road | On-Road | Fires | WBD | PT-O\&G | PTCEM | PTNCEM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama | 3.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 85.4\% | 2.3\% | 5.6\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 1.5\% |
| Arizona | 11.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 76.9\% | 4.7\% | 6.1\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% |
| Arkansas | 4.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 87.3\% | 1.9\% | 2.0\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% |
| California | 13.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 54.0\% | 7.2\% | 8.7\% | 14.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% |
| Colorado | 10.9\% | 11.2\% | 0.0\% | 44.8\% | 5.6\% | 9.1\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 13.0\% | 0.1\% | 4.7\% |
| Connecticut | 17.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 40.6\% | 12.2\% | 29.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.6\% |
| Delaware | 16.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 38.4\% | 13.8\% | 25.6\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 5.1\% |
| District of Columbia | 40.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.8\% | 10.1\% | 39.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% |
| Florida | 12.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 61.0\% | 8.6\% | 12.7\% | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 1.5\% |
| Georgia | 8.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 77.9\% | 2.8\% | 8.2\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 1.0\% |
| Idaho | 22.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 59.0\% | 5.4\% | 4.6\% | 8.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% |
| Illionis | 26.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 41.2\% | 10.4\% | 14.7\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 6.0\% |
| Indiana | 26.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 41.9\% | 8.0\% | 16.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 6.4\% |
| lowa | 18.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 53.7\% | 9.8\% | 10.5\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 5.7\% |
| Kansas | 15.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 62.2\% | 4.0\% | 7.2\% | 7.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 3.7\% |
| Kentucky | 7.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 70.6\% | 4.0\% | 11.9\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 5.1\% |
| Louisiana | 8.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 79.1\% | 3.1\% | 2.9\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 3.9\% |
| Maine | 8.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 74.9\% | 9.3\% | 5.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% |
| Maryland | 19.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 53.3\% | 11.1\% | 14.7\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.8\% |
| Massachusetts | 30.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 34.0\% | 14.7\% | 18.8\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 1.4\% |
| Michigan | 21.9\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 42.7\% | 15.8\% | 15.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 3.2\% |
| Minnesota | 15.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 59.4\% | 11.4\% | 9.6\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 3.0\% |
| Mississippi | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 88.9\% | 1.8\% | 2.5\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% |
| Missouri | 8.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 81.4\% | 3.3\% | 5.2\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 1.1\% |
| Montana | 5.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 83.0\% | 3.4\% | 3.6\% | 3.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 1.1\% |
| Nebraska | 17.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.8\% | 5.8\% | 9.3\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 1.4\% |
| Nevada | 11.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.5\% | 5.4\% | 6.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% |
| New Hampshire | 15.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 61.8\% | 13.2\% | 9.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.5\% |
| New Jersey | 30.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 30.0\% | 17.2\% | 19.6\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.8\% |
| New Mexico | 5.1\% | 23.8\% | 0.0\% | 63.8\% | 1.6\% | 4.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% |
| New York | 30.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 37.1\% | 17.3\% | 14.6\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.8\% |
| North Carolina | 11.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 72.8\% | 4.7\% | 6.8\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 2.5\% |
| North Dakota | 11.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 65.7\% | 6.6\% | 6.1\% | 7.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 1.7\% |
| Ohio | 24.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 43.2\% | 10.8\% | 17.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 4.2\% |
| Oklahoma | 4.6\% | 14.3\% | 0.0\% | 71.5\% | 2.3\% | 4.1\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 1.9\% |
| Oregon | 12.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 64.5\% | 6.3\% | 7.5\% | 7.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% |
| Pennsylvania | 23.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 49.1\% | 10.9\% | 13.4\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 3.3\% |
| Rhode Island | 29.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 24.2\% | 18.4\% | 23.8\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.5\% |
| South Carolina | 8.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 80.1\% | 3.5\% | 4.6\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% |
| South Dakota | 13.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 73.4\% | 5.2\% | 5.6\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 1.2\% |
| Tennessee | 9.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 74.9\% | 4.4\% | 7.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 3.3\% |
| Texas | 6.5\% | 20.5\% | 0.0\% | 64.6\% | 2.4\% | 3.6\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 1.7\% |
| Utah | 15.5\% | 20.6\% | 0.0\% | 50.7\% | 5.0\% | 5.8\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.1\% | 1.4\% |
| Vermont | 11.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 68.6\% | 10.5\% | 8.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% |
| Virginia | 12.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 72.4\% | 4.6\% | 7.3\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% |
| Washington | 21.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 48.0\% | 11.2\% | 12.7\% | 3.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.7\% |
| West Virginia | 5.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 83.2\% | 3.4\% | 4.8\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 2.2\% |
| Wisconsin | 19.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 49.8\% | 14.3\% | 11.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 4.5\% |
| Wyoming | 3.4\% | 29.7\% | 0.0\% | 51.0\% | 2.6\% | 3.1\% | 4.3\% | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | 0.2\% | 3.0\% |
| Tribal Data | 49.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 19.7\% | 30.3\% |
| Grand Total | 11.4\% | 4.4\% | 0.0\% | 66.5\% | 5.5\% | 7.5\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 2.2\% |
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Table 6a. Summary of $2008 \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ emissions (tons per year) by state and major source category (not shown are Mexico and Canada emissions).
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Table 6b. Percent contribution of source categories to $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ emissions by state.

| State | Area | Area-O\&E |  | Biogenic | Off-Road | On-Road | Fires | WBD | PT-O\&G | PTCEM | PTNCEM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama | 90.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 2.3\% |
| Arizona | 91.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 6.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 0.1\% |
| Arkansas | 97.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.8\% |
| California | 94.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 3.2\% |
| Colorado | 96.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% |
| Connecticut | 54.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 40.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.5\% | 0.0\% |
| Delaware | 93.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.9\% |
| District of Columbia | 37.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 62.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Florida | 65.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 23.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.0\% | 3.4\% |
| Georgia | 85.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 8.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 4.9\% |
| Idaho | 98.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% |
| Illionis | 94.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 3.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 1.0\% |
| Indiana | 95.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.8\% |
| lowa | 98.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% |
| Kansas | 97.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 1.0\% |
| Kentucky | 91.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.3\% |
| Louisiana | 86.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 8.7\% |
| Maine | 81.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 9.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 8.1\% |
| Maryland | 91.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 7.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% |
| Massachusetts | 57.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 34.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | 4.4\% |
| Michigan | 92.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 6.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 1.1\% |
| Minnesota | 97.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.9\% |
| Mississippi | 94.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 2.4\% |
| Missouri | 96.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 1.2\% |
| Montana | 99.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% |
| Nebraska | 98.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.6\% |
| Nevada | 83.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 12.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 1.1\% |
| New Hampshire | 65.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 25.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.6\% | 2.0\% |
| New Jersey | 58.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 30.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 9.2\% |
| New Mexico | 96.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% |
| New York | 81.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 12.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.1\% | 2.8\% |
| North Carolina | 96.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.8\% |
| North Dakota | 92.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 7.0\% |
| Ohio | 91.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 5.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 3.1\% |
| Oklahoma | 95.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 2.3\% |
| Oregon | 95.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 3.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% |
| Pennsylvania | 91.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 6.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 2.1\% |
| Rhode Island | 52.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 36.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 10.3\% |
| South Carolina | 87.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 6.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 5.3\% |
| South Dakota | 99.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% |
| Tennessee | 88.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 8.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 2.5\% |
| Texas | 94.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.7\% |
| Utah | 95.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 1.4\% |
| Vermont | 95.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 4.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% |
| Virginia | 89.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 7.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 2.7\% |
| Washington | 93.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 5.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.7\% |
| West Virginia | 91.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 6.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 1.9\% |
| Wisconsin | 96.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% |
| Wyoming | 94.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 1.4\% |
| Tribal Data | 82.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.2\% | 1.7\% |
| Grand Total | 94.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 3.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 1.7\% |
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Table 7a. Summary of $2008 \mathrm{SO}_{2}$ emissions (tons per year) by state and major source category (not shown are 305,580 TPY near-shore and 300,238 TPY off-shore commercial marine vessel and Mexico/Canada emissions).

| State | Area | Area-O\&G |  | Biogenic | Off-Road | On-Road | Fires | WBD | PT-O\&G | PTCEM | PTNCEM | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama | 1,686 | - | - | - | 465 | 1,866 | 3,831 | - | - | 365,285 | 66,061 | 439,193 |
| Arizona | 3,678 | - | - | - | 673 | 812 | 607 | - | - | 44,226 | 35,006 | 85,001 |
| Arkansas | 688 | 380 | - | - | 464 | 589 | 2,103 | - | - | 73,296 | 14,074 | 91,595 |
| California | 9,562 | 0 | - | - | 428 | 1,936 | 20,011 | - | - | 196 | 27,204 | 59,337 |
| Colorado | 493 | 555 | - | - | 609 | 959 | 207 | - | 110 | 56,713 | 7,714 | 67,360 |
| Connecticut | 12,190 | - | - | - | 247 | 926 | 1 | - | - | 5,000 | 522 | 18,887 |
| Delaware | 919 | - | - | - | 267 | 277 | 6 | - | - | 33,669 | 7,464 | 42,603 |
| District of Columbia | 818 | - | - | - | 59 | 107 | 0 | - | - | - | 344 | 1,328 |
| Florida | 1,971 | - | - | - | 2,114 | 4,975 | 4,524 | - | - | 269,734 | 45,070 | 328,388 |
| Georgia | 1,110 | - | - | - | 962 | 2,974 | 3,557 | - | - | 514,496 | 39,300 | 562,400 |
| Idaho | 8,929 | - | - | - | 276 | 332 | 2,019 | - | - | - | 7,511 | 19,067 |
| Illionis | 7,730 | - | - | - | 137 | 2,037 | 145 | - | - | 277,649 | 99,789 | 387,486 |
| Indiana | 16,100 | - | - | - | 1,031 | 1,514 | 108 | - | - | 598,510 | 81,755 | 699,018 |
| Iowa | 2,527 | - | - | - | 1,051 | 628 | 70 | - | - | 109,277 | 51,777 | 165,330 |
| Kansas | 7,527 | - | - | - | 816 | 578 | 1,181 | - | - | 95,683 | 7,334 | 113,119 |
| Kentucky | 2,140 | - | - | - | 507 | 1,673 | 344 | - | - | 343,756 | 30,992 | 379,412 |
| Louisiana | 10,223 | - | - | - | 474 | 853 | 3,307 | - | - | 82,221 | 138,334 | 235,412 |
| Maine | 8,935 | - | - | - | 136 | 298 | 6 | - | - | 1,035 | 12,578 | 22,987 |
| Maryland | 5,646 | - | - | - | 455 | 967 | 43 | - | - | 228,351 | 27,327 | 262,788 |
| Massachusetts | 19,259 | - | - | - | 424 | 821 | 25 | - | - | 46,517 | 6,432 | 73,478 |
| Michigan | 15,338 | - | - | - | 1,156 | 2,236 | 67 | - | - | 327,282 | 59,764 | 405,842 |
| Minnesota | 9,448 | - | - | - | 1,104 | 956 | 145 | - | - | 74,039 | 25,261 | 110,953 |
| Mississippi | 1,199 | - | - | - | 355 | 729 | 1,933 | - | - | 65,082 | 18,877 | 88,174 |
| Missouri | 45,425 | - | - | - | 881 | 1,453 | 471 | - | - | 258,118 | 109,614 | 415,962 |
| Montana | 584 | 21 | - | - | 336 | 229 | 725 | - | 2 | 19,506 | 7,916 | 29,319 |
| Nebraska | 927 | - | - | - | 701 | 378 | 89 | - | - | 75,695 | 2,579 | 80,367 |
| Nevada | 4,863 | - | - | - | 322 | 298 | 100 | - | - | 9,328 | 1,832 | 16,744 |
| New Hampshire | 5,996 | - | - | - | 120 | 214 | 2 | - | - | 36,889 | 2,049 | 45,270 |
| New Jersey | 10,459 | - | - | - | 604 | 1,162 | 83 | - | - | 24,685 | 3,408 | 40,401 |
| New Mexico | 347 | 1,076 | - | - | 167 | 498 | 282 | - | 12,801 | 11,326 | 556 | 27,053 |
| New York | 71,087 | - | - | - | 3,404 | 2,410 | 53 | - | - | 65,779 | 48,257 | 190,991 |
| North Carolina | 12,268 | - | - | - | 989 | 1,861 | 2,406 | - | - | 227,880 | 46,503 | 291,907 |
| North Dakota | 729 | - | - | - | 683 | 156 | 182 | - | - | 132,584 | 9,563 | 143,897 |
| Ohio | 14,915 | - | - | - | 1,338 | 2,324 | 119 | - | - | 709,286 | 134,327 | 862,309 |
| Oklahoma | 4,623 | 10 | - | - | 519 | 904 | 1,079 | - | - | 101,316 | 36,015 | 144,466 |
| Oregon | 1,528 | - | - | - | 431 | 654 | 2,375 | - | - | 11,344 | 4,586 | 20,918 |
| Pennsylvania | 72,063 | - | - | - | 1,285 | 2,033 | 232 | - | - | 859,470 | 42,763 | 977,846 |
| Rhode Island | 2,801 | - | - | - | 63 | 131 | 5 | - | - | 5 | 917 | 3,920 |
| South Carolina | 1,760 | - | - | - | 483 | 953 | 1,452 | - | - | 160,915 | 30,622 | 196,185 |
| South Dakota | 339 | - | - | - | 484 | 179 | 87 | - | - | 13,535 | 1,196 | 15,821 |
| Tennessee | 65,626 | - | - | - | 642 | 1,390 | 538 | - | - | 209,981 | 45,423 | 323,601 |
| Texas | 7,853 | 4,487 | - | - | 3,495 | 3,939 | 3,223 | - | 2,327 | 484,207 | 112,996 | 622,526 |
| Utah | 1,988 | 425 | - | - | 286 | 497 | 140 | - | 7 | 20,075 | 8,146 | 31,564 |
| Vermont | 3,738 | - | - | - | 65 | 150 | 5 | - | - | 2 | 165 | 4,125 |
| Virginia | 14,509 | - | - | - | 739 | 1,477 | 1,152 | - | - | 124,100 | 50,296 | 192,273 |
| Washington | 3,220 | - | - | - | 703 | 994 | 801 | - | - | 2,015 | 13,487 | 21,221 |
| West Virginia | 5,716 | - | - | - | 130 | 405 | 302 | - | - | 312,097 | 31,787 | 350,436 |
| Wisconsin | 7,164 | - | - | - | 811 | 1,147 | 84 | - | - | 133,461 | 59,983 | 202,650 |
| Wyoming | 501 | 1,822 | - | - | 95 | 190 | 927 | - | 7,022 | 81,911 | 19,850 | 112,319 |
| Tribal Data | 389 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15,139 | 42 | 15,570 |
| Grand Total | 509,534 | 8,777 | - | - | 33,984 | 55,072 | 61,155 | - | 22,268 | 7,712,661 | 1,635,370 | 10,038,821 |

Table 7b. Percent contribution of source categories to $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ emissions by state.

| State | Area | Area-O\&G |  | Biogenic | Off-Road | On-Road | Fires | WBD | PT-O\&G | PTCEM | PTNCEM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 83.2\% | 15.0\% |
| Arizona | 4.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 1.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 52.0\% | 41.2\% |
| Arkansas | 0.8\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.6\% | 2.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 80.0\% | 15.4\% |
| California | 16.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 3.3\% | 33.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 45.8\% |
| Colorado | 0.7\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 1.4\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 84.2\% | 11.5\% |
| Connecticut | 64.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 4.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 26.5\% | 2.8\% |
| Delaware | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 79.0\% | 17.5\% |
| District of Columbia | 61.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.4\% | 8.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 25.9\% |
| Florida | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 1.5\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 82.1\% | 13.7\% |
| Georgia | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 91.5\% | 7.0\% |
| Idaho | 46.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 1.7\% | 10.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 39.4\% |
| Illionis | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 71.7\% | 25.8\% |
| Indiana | 2.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 85.6\% | 11.7\% |
| lowa | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 66.1\% | 31.3\% |
| Kansas | 6.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.5\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 84.6\% | 6.5\% |
| Kentucky | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 90.6\% | 8.2\% |
| Louisiana | 4.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 34.9\% | 58.8\% |
| Maine | 38.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.5\% | 54.7\% |
| Maryland | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 86.9\% | 10.4\% |
| Massachusetts | 26.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.3\% | 8.8\% |
| Michigan | 3.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 80.6\% | 14.7\% |
| Minnesota | 8.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 66.7\% | 22.8\% |
| Mississippi | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.8\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 73.8\% | 21.4\% |
| Missouri | 10.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 62.1\% | 26.4\% |
| Montana | 2.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.8\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 66.5\% | 27.0\% |
| Nebraska | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 94.2\% | 3.2\% |
| Nevada | 29.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 1.8\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 55.7\% | 10.9\% |
| New Hampshire | 13.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 81.5\% | 4.5\% |
| New Jersey | 25.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 2.9\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 61.1\% | 8.4\% |
| New Mexico | 1.3\% | 4.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 1.8\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 47.3\% | 41.9\% | 2.1\% |
| New York | 37.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 34.4\% | 25.3\% |
| North Carolina | 4.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.6\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 78.1\% | 15.9\% |
| North Dakota | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 92.1\% | 6.6\% |
| Ohio | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 82.3\% | 15.6\% |
| Oklahoma | 3.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 70.1\% | 24.9\% |
| Oregon | 7.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 3.1\% | 11.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 54.2\% | 21.9\% |
| Pennsylvania | 7.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 87.9\% | 4.4\% |
| Rhode Island | 71.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 3.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 23.4\% |
| South Carolina | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 82.0\% | 15.6\% |
| South Dakota | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.1\% | 1.1\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 85.6\% | 7.6\% |
| Tennessee | 20.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 64.9\% | 14.0\% |
| Texas | 1.3\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.6\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 77.8\% | 18.2\% |
| Utah | 6.3\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 1.6\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 25.8\% |
| Vermont | 90.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 3.6\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.0\% |
| Virginia | 7.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.8\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 64.5\% | 26.2\% |
| Washington | 15.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 4.7\% | 3.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.5\% | 63.6\% |
| West Virginia | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 89.1\% | 9.1\% |
| Wisconsin | 3.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 65.9\% | 29.6\% |
| Wyoming | 0.4\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 6.3\% | 72.9\% | 17.7\% |
| Tribal Data | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 97.2\% | 0.3\% |
| Grand Total | 5.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.5\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 76.8\% | 16.3\% |

INSTITUTE FOR

Table 8a. Summary of 2008 PM $_{2.5}$ emissions (tons per year) by state and major source category (not shown are 38,019 TPY near-shore and 37,227 TPY off-shore commercial marine vessel and Mexico/Canada emissions).

| State | Area | Area-O\&G |  | Biogenic | Off-Road | On-Road | Fires | WBD | PT-O\&G | PTCEM | PTNCEM | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama | 7,761 | - | 8,953 | - | 2,544 | 15,806 | 50,163 | 957 | - | 2,786 | 22,181 | 111,151 |
| Arizona | 16,114 | - | 26,124 | - | 3,311 | 8,756 | 8,238 | 9,307 | - | 1,904 | 3,730 | 77,483 |
| Arkansas | 7,214 | - | 23,607 | - | 2,474 | 5,416 | 27,885 | 5,397 | - | 1,236 | 6,452 | 79,682 |
| California | 83,888 | 7 | 39,371 | - | 14,681 | 18,437 | 261,920 | 12,133 | - | 965 | 22,741 | 454,144 |
| Colorado | 14,940 | 2,106 | 24,330 | - | 3,071 | 9,096 | 2,625 | 13,138 | 436 | 527 | 7,498 | 77,768 |
| Connecticut | 8,285 | - | 913 | - | 1,355 | 9,107 | 18 | 1 | - | 121 | 220 | 20,021 |
| Delaware | 1,143 | - | 716 | - | 455 | 2,265 | 80 | 11 | - | 1,875 | 1,080 | 7,626 |
| District of Columbia | 814 | - | 35 | - | 222 | 788 | 0 | 0 | - | - | 46 | 1,904 |
| Florida | 15,772 | - | 16,662 | - | 10,508 | 35,438 | 68,475 | 768 | - | 13,160 | 16,451 | 177,234 |
| Georgia | 22,019 | - | 17,096 | - | 4,864 | 29,351 | 47,301 | 562 | - | 5,999 | 6,567 | 133,760 |
| Idaho | 7,103 | - | 13,387 | - | 1,545 | 3,106 | 26,192 | 5,286 | - | - | 2,369 | 58,988 |
| Illionis | 27,875 | - | 64,780 | - | 8,397 | 18,873 | 1,918 | 8,083 | - | 5,474 | 12,037 | 147,437 |
| Indiana | 19,589 | - | 36,082 | - | 4,494 | 13,803 | 1,421 | 2,988 | - | 30,115 | 27,476 | 135,968 |
| lowa | 7,787 | - | 51,972 | - | 5,025 | 6,166 | 979 | 27,674 | - | 5,657 | 5,796 | 111,055 |
| Kansas | 6,400 | - | 77,675 | - | 3,792 | 5,191 | 14,334 | 64,378 | - | 1,747 | 3,633 | 177,149 |
| Kentucky | 11,745 | - | 9,442 | - | 2,612 | 16,114 | 4,488 | 3,742 | - | 6,459 | 17,473 | 72,075 |
| Louisiana | 15,194 | - | 10,039 | - | 2,572 | 7,284 | 44,019 | 3,557 | - | 3,506 | 45,877 | 132,050 |
| Maine | 9,104 | - | 1,374 | - | 1,062 | 3,064 | 78 | 55 | - | 50 | 2,781 | 17,568 |
| Maryland | 10,694 | - | 3,773 | - | 2,447 | 8,389 | 550 | 137 | - | 5,945 | 2,674 | 34,609 |
| Massachusetts | 11,736 | - | 4,269 | - | 2,286 | 8,617 | 313 | 4 | - | 600 | 1,296 | 29,121 |
| Michigan | 36,601 | - | 21,668 | - | 6,539 | 17,931 | 820 | 1,628 | - | 1,602 | 13,401 | 100,191 |
| Minnesota | 24,606 | - | 47,934 | - | 6,025 | 10,909 | 1,971 | 10,661 | - | 3,470 | 12,909 | 118,484 |
| Mississippi | 10,035 | - | 13,082 | - | 1,917 | 6,410 | 25,544 | 3,597 | - | 1,007 | 7,053 | 68,644 |
| Missouri | 13,580 | - | 40,722 | - | 4,432 | 12,319 | 6,220 | 15,762 | - | 5,252 | 6,240 | 104,526 |
| Montana | 3,593 | 23 | 25,220 | - | 1,692 | 2,382 | 9,354 | 26,475 | 8 | 221 | 1,955 | 70,922 |
| Nebraska | 5,433 | - | 45,950 | - | 3,263 | 3,691 | 1,241 | 29,728 | - | 1,871 | 2,056 | 93,232 |
| Nevada | 3,760 | - | 20,186 | - | 1,700 | 3,142 | 1,273 | 17,051 | - | 360 | 3,082 | 50,555 |
| New Hampshire | 6,678 | - | 442 | - | 796 | 2,479 | 25 | 6 | - | 592 | 3,101 | 14,121 |
| New Jersey | 10,318 | - | 1,607 | - | 3,307 | 11,986 | 1,078 | 35 | - | 4,333 | 2,766 | 35,431 |
| New Mexico | 5,374 | 750 | 59,604 | - | 835 | 4,774 | 3,766 | 28,151 | 349 | 686 | 543 | 104,831 |
| New York | 35,271 | - | 10,198 | - | 7,154 | 22,203 | 684 | 904 | - | 1,867 | 4,423 | 82,706 |
| North Carolina | 21,750 | - | 8,537 | - | 4,954 | 15,132 | 31,244 | 664 | - | 16,969 | 8,712 | 107,962 |
| North Dakota | 1,808 | - | 44,139 | - | 3,199 | 1,777 | 2,577 | 15,784 | - | 306 | 2,275 | 71,865 |
| Ohio | 37,916 | - | 28,074 | - | 6,245 | 19,732 | 1,451 | 2,854 | - | 43,349 | 21,670 | 161,290 |
| Oklahoma | 9,666 | 397 | 52,850 | - | 2,596 | 7,516 | 13,316 | 26,462 | - | 3,328 | 5,665 | 121,796 |
| Oregon | 17,175 | - | 10,030 | - | 2,289 | 6,767 | 30,947 | 8,499 | - | 706 | 8,394 | 84,807 |
| Pennsylvania | 30,841 | - | 8,054 | - | 5,026 | 19,078 | 2,917 | 319 | - | 53,923 | 13,991 | 134,150 |
| Rhode Island | 2,367 | - | 304 | - | 331 | 1,329 | 59 | 1 | - | 5 | 128 | 4,524 |
| South Carolina | 8,718 | - | 7,207 | - | 2,414 | 8,408 | 18,740 | 466 | - | 14,524 | 5,619 | 66,095 |
| South Dakota | 1,957 | - | 26,458 | - | 2,295 | 1,987 | 1,163 | 34,242 | - | 229 | 655 | 68,985 |
| Tennessee | 21,053 | - | 8,628 | - | 3,323 | 11,796 | 6,951 | 2,434 | - | 5,284 | 9,938 | 69,408 |
| Texas | 26,077 | 4,842 | 171,368 | - | 14,531 | 32,677 | 41,533 | 85,509 | 872 | 11,599 | 29,328 | 418,337 |
| Utah | 5,220 | 664 | 15,122 | - | 1,436 | 4,435 | 1,815 | 10,810 | 42 | 883 | 3,133 | 43,560 |
| Vermont | 8,051 | - | 616 | - | 443 | 1,550 | 68 | 12 | - | 43 | 95 | 10,876 |
| Virginia | 19,633 | - | 4,374 | - | 3,771 | 12,847 | 14,930 | 578 | - | 1,618 | 7,250 | 65,000 |
| Washington | 20,579 | - | 16,042 | - | 3,638 | 9,883 | 10,463 | 4,520 | - | 459 | 3,962 | 69,545 |
| West Virginia | 7,771 | - | 1,186 | - | 873 | 3,958 | 3,915 | 66 | - | 25,969 | 4,288 | 48,025 |
| Wisconsin | 34,858 | - | 19,855 | - | 4,694 | 11,848 | 1,046 | 2,422 | - | 606 | 3,037 | 78,365 |
| Wyoming | 2,587 | 1,105 | 37,636 | - | 551 | 2,032 | 12,061 | 5,631 | 229 | 7,371 | 15,897 | 85,099 |
| Tribal Data | 137 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5,659 | 949 | 6,744 |
| Grand Total | 738,591 | 9,894 | 1,177,689 | - | 177,987 | 496,045 | 808,173 | 493,451 | 1,935 | 302,214 | 410,891 | 4,616,870 |

Table 8b. Percent contribution of source categories to PM $_{2.5}$ emissions by state.

| State | Area | Area-O\&G |  | Biogenic | Off-Road | On-Road | Fires | WBD | PT-O\&G | PTCEM | PTNCEM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama | 7.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.1\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 14.2\% | 45.1\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 20.0\% |
| Arizona | 20.8\% | 0.0\% | 33.7\% | 0.0\% | 4.3\% | 11.3\% | 10.6\% | 12.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 4.8\% |
| Arkansas | 9.1\% | 0.0\% | 29.6\% | 0.0\% | 3.1\% | 6.8\% | 35.0\% | 6.8\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 8.1\% |
| California | 18.5\% | 0.0\% | 8.7\% | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 4.1\% | 57.7\% | 2.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 5.0\% |
| Colorado | 19.2\% | 2.7\% | 31.3\% | 0.0\% | 3.9\% | 11.7\% | 3.4\% | 16.9\% | 0.6\% | 0.7\% | 9.6\% |
| Connecticut | 41.4\% | 0.0\% | 4.6\% | 0.0\% | 6.8\% | 45.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 1.1\% |
| Delaware | 15.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.4\% | 0.0\% | 6.0\% | 29.7\% | 1.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 24.6\% | 14.2\% |
| District of Columbia | 42.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 11.6\% | 41.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% |
| Florida | 8.9\% | 0.0\% | 9.4\% | 0.0\% | 5.9\% | 20.0\% | 38.6\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 7.4\% | 9.3\% |
| Georgia | 16.5\% | 0.0\% | 12.8\% | 0.0\% | 3.6\% | 21.9\% | 35.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 4.5\% | 4.9\% |
| Idaho | 12.0\% | 0.0\% | 22.7\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 5.3\% | 44.4\% | 9.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.0\% |
| Illionis | 18.9\% | 0.0\% | 43.9\% | 0.0\% | 5.7\% | 12.8\% | 1.3\% | 5.5\% | 0.0\% | 3.7\% | 8.2\% |
| Indiana | 14.4\% | 0.0\% | 26.5\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 10.2\% | 1.0\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 22.1\% | 20.2\% |
| lowa | 7.0\% | 0.0\% | 46.8\% | 0.0\% | 4.5\% | 5.6\% | 0.9\% | 24.9\% | 0.0\% | 5.1\% | 5.2\% |
| Kansas | 3.6\% | 0.0\% | 43.8\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 2.9\% | 8.1\% | 36.3\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 2.1\% |
| Kentucky | 16.3\% | 0.0\% | 13.1\% | 0.0\% | 3.6\% | 22.4\% | 6.2\% | 5.2\% | 0.0\% | 9.0\% | 24.2\% |
| Louisiana | 11.5\% | 0.0\% | 7.6\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 5.5\% | 33.3\% | 2.7\% | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | 34.7\% |
| Maine | 51.8\% | 0.0\% | 7.8\% | 0.0\% | 6.0\% | 17.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 15.8\% |
| Maryland | 30.9\% | 0.0\% | 10.9\% | 0.0\% | 7.1\% | 24.2\% | 1.6\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 17.2\% | 7.7\% |
| Massachusetts | 40.3\% | 0.0\% | 14.7\% | 0.0\% | 7.8\% | 29.6\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 4.5\% |
| Michigan | 36.5\% | 0.0\% | 21.6\% | 0.0\% | 6.5\% | 17.9\% | 0.8\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 13.4\% |
| Minnesota | 20.8\% | 0.0\% | 40.5\% | 0.0\% | 5.1\% | 9.2\% | 1.7\% | 9.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.9\% | 10.9\% |
| Mississippi | 14.6\% | 0.0\% | 19.1\% | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | 9.3\% | 37.2\% | 5.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 10.3\% |
| Missouri | 13.0\% | 0.0\% | 39.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.2\% | 11.8\% | 6.0\% | 15.1\% | 0.0\% | 5.0\% | 6.0\% |
| Montana | 5.1\% | 0.0\% | 35.6\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 3.4\% | 13.2\% | 37.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 2.8\% |
| Nebraska | 5.8\% | 0.0\% | 49.3\% | 0.0\% | 3.5\% | 4.0\% | 1.3\% | 31.9\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.2\% |
| Nevada | 7.4\% | 0.0\% | 39.9\% | 0.0\% | 3.4\% | 6.2\% | 2.5\% | 33.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 6.1\% |
| New Hampshire | 47.3\% | 0.0\% | 3.1\% | 0.0\% | 5.6\% | 17.6\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.2\% | 22.0\% |
| New Jersey | 29.1\% | 0.0\% | 4.5\% | 0.0\% | 9.3\% | 33.8\% | 3.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 12.2\% | 7.8\% |
| New Mexico | 5.1\% | 0.7\% | 56.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 4.6\% | 3.6\% | 26.9\% | 0.3\% | 0.7\% | 0.5\% |
| New York | 42.6\% | 0.0\% | 12.3\% | 0.0\% | 8.6\% | 26.8\% | 0.8\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 5.3\% |
| North Carolina | 20.1\% | 0.0\% | 7.9\% | 0.0\% | 4.6\% | 14.0\% | 28.9\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 15.7\% | 8.1\% |
| North Dakota | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 61.4\% | 0.0\% | 4.5\% | 2.5\% | 3.6\% | 22.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 3.2\% |
| Ohio | 23.5\% | 0.0\% | 17.4\% | 0.0\% | 3.9\% | 12.2\% | 0.9\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 26.9\% | 13.4\% |
| Oklahoma | 7.9\% | 0.3\% | 43.4\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 6.2\% | 10.9\% | 21.7\% | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | 4.7\% |
| Oregon | 20.3\% | 0.0\% | 11.8\% | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | 8.0\% | 36.5\% | 10.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 9.9\% |
| Pennsylvania | 23.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.7\% | 14.2\% | 2.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 40.2\% | 10.4\% |
| Rhode Island | 52.3\% | 0.0\% | 6.7\% | 0.0\% | 7.3\% | 29.4\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 2.8\% |
| South Carolina | 13.2\% | 0.0\% | 10.9\% | 0.0\% | 3.7\% | 12.7\% | 28.4\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 22.0\% | 8.5\% |
| South Dakota | 2.8\% | 0.0\% | 38.4\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 2.9\% | 1.7\% | 49.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.9\% |
| Tennessee | 30.3\% | 0.0\% | 12.4\% | 0.0\% | 4.8\% | 17.0\% | 10.0\% | 3.5\% | 0.0\% | 7.6\% | 14.3\% |
| Texas | 6.2\% | 1.2\% | 41.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.5\% | 7.8\% | 9.9\% | 20.4\% | 0.2\% | 2.8\% | 7.0\% |
| Utah | 12.0\% | 1.5\% | 34.7\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 10.2\% | 4.2\% | 24.8\% | 0.1\% | 2.0\% | 7.2\% |
| Vermont | 74.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.7\% | 0.0\% | 4.1\% | 14.3\% | 0.6\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.9\% |
| Virginia | 30.2\% | 0.0\% | 6.7\% | 0.0\% | 5.8\% | 19.8\% | 23.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 11.2\% |
| Washington | 29.6\% | 0.0\% | 23.1\% | 0.0\% | 5.2\% | 14.2\% | 15.0\% | 6.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 5.7\% |
| West Virginia | 16.2\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 8.2\% | 8.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 54.1\% | 8.9\% |
| Wisconsin | 44.5\% | 0.0\% | 25.3\% | 0.0\% | 6.0\% | 15.1\% | 1.3\% | 3.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 3.9\% |
| Wyoming | 3.0\% | 1.3\% | 44.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 2.4\% | 14.2\% | 6.6\% | 0.3\% | 8.7\% | 18.7\% |
| Tribal Data | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 83.9\% | 14.1\% |
| Grand Total | 16.0\% | 0.2\% | 25.5\% | 0.0\% | 3.9\% | 10.7\% | 17.5\% | 10.7\% | 0.0\% | 6.5\% | 8.9\% |


[^0]:    1 http://www.epa.gov/ttnchie1/software/speciate/

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Available from http://www.cmascenter.org
    ${ }^{3}$ http://trac.osgeo.org/proi/

